Advances in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal Vol. 10, No. 2, 28-34 (2025)



www.astesj.com

ASTESJ ISSN: 2415-6698

Special Issue on Computing, Engineering and Multidisciplinary Sciences

AI-Based Photography Assessment System using Convolutional Neural Networks

Surapol Vorapatratorn^{1,*}, Nontawat Thongsibsong¹

¹Center of Excellence in AI and Emerging Technologies, School of Applied Digital Technology, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai, 57100, Thailand

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 12 January, 2025 Revised: 01 March, 2025 Accepted: 02 March, 2025 Online: 18 March, 2025 Keywords: Automated assessment Deep learning Convolutional neural networks Education Technology Image classification AI in education

ABSTRACT

Providing timely and meaningful feedback in photography education is challenging, particularly in large classes where manual assessment can delay skill development. This paper presents M-Stock, an AI-based automated photo evaluation system that uses Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) to assess student photography assignments on web browser. M-Stock evaluates both technical aspects (such as lighting, composition, and exposure) and creative elements, providing students with real-time, formative feedback. The system was trained on a diverse dataset, including student submissions and commercial standards, achieving an overall accuracy of 97.18% with an average prediction speed of 46.1 milliseconds per image. Experiments assessed the system's performance across varying resolutions and batch sizes, confirming its scalability and suitability for real-time classroom use. Additionally, a pilot study with students indicated that M-Stock's feedback positively impacted their technical skills and encouraged self-directed learning. The results demonstrate M-Stock's potential as a transformative tool for photography education, combining high accuracy, immediate feedback, and pedagogical value to support continuous learning. Future improvements will focus on refining creative assessments and expanding the system's applicability to other visual arts disciplines.

1. Introduction

In recent years, digital technology has revolutionized the way photography is taught, offering students unprecedented access to resources and tools for developing their skills. University-level courses on photography increasingly emphasize both theoretical knowledge and practical expertise, aiming to produce competent professionals equipped for the rapidly evolving media and creative industries [1]. However, as photography courses expand in scope and enrolment, especially in digital classrooms, educators face significant challenges in efficiently assessing student work [2]. The task of providing timely, meaningful feedback is often hindered by the volume of student submissions, which can delay the developmental process of photography skills [3].

Traditional assessment methods for photography assignments are often manual and time-consuming, leading to delays that can impede learning and limit student engagement. Studies have highlighted that real-time feedback plays a significant role in accelerating skill acquisition in domains requiring both technical precision and creative expression [4]. Given this, automated assessment systems powered by artificial intelligence (AI) have emerged as promising tools for enhancing the learning experience. AI technologies, especially deep learning, have shown considerable potential in automating visual assessments, enabling more personalized, consistent, and timely feedback for students [5].

Despite these advancements, current AI-based assessment systems in photography education primarily focus on evaluating technical attributes, such as lighting, composition, and exposure, often overlooking the creative and subjective aspects critical to artistic development. Furthermore, many existing tools provide only summative feedback, offering a one-time evaluation rather than iterative feedback that supports continuous learning and improvement. Addressing these gaps requires an assessment platform that can balance both technical and creative evaluations while also offering formative, actionable feedback.

^{*}Corresponding Author: Surapol Vorapatratorn, Mae Fah Luang University, Surapol.vor@mfu.ac.th



Figure 1: Overall structure of our proposed system

This paper introduces M-Stock (Mae Fah Luang University Photo Stock), an AI-driven automated photo evaluation platform designed to support student learning in photography by providing real-time feedback on both technical and artistic elements of their work. Using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), M-Stock evaluates photographs based on predefined criteria developed in consultation with industry standards and educational experts, thus ensuring both relevance to the professional field and pedagogical value. In addition, M-Stock is built with scalability and ease of use in mind, allowing seamless integration into classroom environments where students can receive immediate feedback on their submissions. Overall structure of our proposed system as depicted in Figure 1.

2. Related Work

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in education has shown promising potential to enhance learning outcomes by providing personalized and adaptive feedback across various fields. In creative education, AI-driven assessment tools have been increasingly applied, yet challenges remain, particularly in domains like photography, where both technical and creative competencies are essential. This section reviews recent advancements in AI-supported educational systems, focusing on automated assessment in creative disciplines and identifying key gaps that the M-Stock system aims to address.

2.1. AI in Education for Automated Assessment

AI technologies, particularly deep learning, have transformed educational assessment by enabling automated grading and personalized feedback systems. These systems have proven effective in evaluating diverse student outputs, including essays, problem-solving exercises, and visual projects, providing more timely feedback than traditional methods. Adaptive learning environments and intelligent tutoring systems use AI to tailor educational content and assessment to individual learners' needs. which has been shown to improve learning efficiency and engagement [6]. Furthermore, as 21st-century learning frameworks emphasize critical thinking, creativity, and lifelong learning [7], AI-based assessments must evolve to support these skills, especially in creative subjects like photography. Furthermore, AI technologies have been applied in the context of stock photography. Platforms such as Shutterstock and Adobe Stock have incorporated AI algorithms to evaluate the quality of images submitted by photographers, offering real-time feedback and ensuring that only images meeting commercial standards are accepted [8]. This use of AI for large-scale image evaluation highlights its potential for integration into photography education, where it can be used to assess student submissions and provide immediate feedback on technical aspects such as focus, lighting, and composition [9]. However, most existing systems in this category are optimized for structured and quantifiable tasks, such as quizzes and assignments that focus on objective metrics. This approach is limited in addressing subjective assessments, such as those required in photography education, which involve creative expression and aesthetic judgment.

2.2. Automated Assessment in Photography Education

In photography education, AI-based assessment tools have typically focused on evaluating technical attributes, such as exposure, sharpness, and composition. As professional photography requires both technical proficiency and artistic expression, it is critical that educational tools reflect industry standards and expectations [10]. Recent research by Thongsibsong [11] has explored AI-supported assessment in photography, demonstrating the potential for Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) to classify images based on technical quality. Such systems provide valuable feedback for improving technical proficiency but often lack the capability to assess the creative and subjective qualities of an image. Moreover, many existing tools in photography education offer only summative feedback, which does not facilitate iterative improvement and skill refinement, both of which are critical for creative learning. Unlike these existing systems, M-Stock aims to bridge this gap by integrating both technical and creative evaluations, providing formative feedback that encourages continuous learning. The system's feedback is designed not only to assess basic technical aspects but also to guide students in enhancing their artistic interpretation and aesthetic sensibilities, offering a more comprehensive educational experience.

2.3. Convolutional Neural Networks for Image Classification

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have emerged as a robust tool for image classification, widely applied in various fields, including medical imaging, autonomous driving, and creative media [12]. CNNs excel at identifying spatial hierarchies and features in visual data, making them well-suited for assessing technical quality in photography. While CNNs have demonstrated high accuracy in image classification, most studies in this area have focused on technical metrics without exploring how these models might be adapted to assess creative and subjective qualities in educational contexts. Other deep learning models, such as transformers and attention-based networks, have also shown success in visual tasks, providing an alternative to CNNs. However, CNNs remain the primary choice for this study due to their well-established efficiency and proven effectiveness in photography-related tasks. Future iterations of M-Stock could explore alternative models or ensemble approaches to further enhance its evaluative capabilities, particularly for assessing creativity.

2.4. Existing Gaps in Automated Photography Assessment

Despite the advances in AI-based assessment tools, significant gaps remain in the automated evaluation of creative student outputs. Most current systems excel at objective assessments, but they struggle to capture subjective elements, such as artistic style and emotional impact, which are essential in photography education [13]. Additionally, the lack of iterative, formative feedback in current photography assessment tools limits their effectiveness in supporting continuous skill development. The need for systems that can provide nuanced, ongoing feedback on both technical and creative elements of student work remains largely unmet. In response to these challenges, M-Stock was designed to provide a balanced approach to automated photography assessment, incorporating both technical and creative evaluations. By integrating AI-based formative feedback, M-Stock addresses the limitations of existing systems, offering students timely, constructive feedback that promotes self-directed learning and skill enhancement.

3. Proposed Method

The M-Stock system was developed to automate the assessment of student photography, providing a balanced evaluation that addresses both technical and creative aspects of students' work. This section outlines the methodology used to design, implement, and evaluate the M-Stock system, focusing on data collection, model training, feedback mechanisms, and system architecture.

3.1. Data Gathering

The M-Stock system's training dataset combines images from two primary sources to cover diverse photography skills and quality levels: *Student Assignments from Photography Courses:* Images were collected from photography courses at Mae Fah Luang University. These assignments covered various topics such as fast shutter speed, long shutter speed, night light photography, composition and subject, aperture and depth of field, light and shadow, portrait photography, moving subjects, and product photography. The assignments were submitted via Google Classroom [14], and each image was categorized into three performance levels: Excellence, Good, and Bad, based on criteria established by instructors and photography experts as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Digital Photography Assignment in Google Classroom

Commercial Standards from Shutterstock Submissions: To integrate professional criteria, the dataset includes student submissions to Shutterstock [15], labelled as either Accepted (commercially viable) or Rejected (commercially inadequate). This source introduces real-world standards into the model, making it robust for assessing quality in a manner that aligns with industry requirements as depicted in Figure 3. Images were stored

in a server database, organized by assignment type and quality category. This collection strategy ensures that the M-Stock model can generalize well across different photography styles, skill levels, and educational contexts.

To submit (0) Pen	ting (13) Reviewed (2)	Images *	Newest *	0	DSC05365.jj	pg Q	Keywords			
Reviewed con published on	itent will be displayer your portfolio page	d here for 21 days. Appr shortly after it is review	oved content is ed.	×00	Date submitte Date reviewer Content expli	xd: 03/27/2023 d: 03/27/2023 res in 20 days	air applians bake	appetize ce app bakery	ar pliances barbec	ue
All reviewed 🔻			Image	e type	0	bread	burnt	calories		
	Approved	Approved Date approved: 03/27/2023 It may take up to 3 days for your approved content to be viewable elsewhere on the site.			Photo	Illustration	deep	dirty	dishware	
1	It may take			Usage		0	electric	equip fresh	frver	fat
	be viewable			Cor	nmerciai	Editorial	germs	grease	e gritt	
ISC00083.jpg			BISE/PEROmade pizza with bread and cheese in dirty electric glass ar tryer oven			homemade hot italiar kitchen machine meal		lian tal		
-	Rejection Exposure: C	Rejection reasons (1) Exposure: Content is underexposed, overexposed, or is inconsistently exposed.		Category 1 Food and drink		oil pizza	metal oily snack	old of square	n ven	
		and a second	200			table	tasty	toast		

Figure 3: Assessment of uploaded photographs from Shutterstock

3.2. Model Training and Selection

The M-Stock system utilizes Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) [16] due to their strong performance in visual data analysis and spatial feature extraction. CNNs were chosen over alternative models, such as transformers, because of their efficiency in handling complex image data with lower computational requirements, making them suitable for real-time feedback in educational environments. The CNN architecture includes multiple convolutional layers, ReLU activations, batch normalization, max-pooling layers, and fully connected layers. A final softmax classifier predicts image categories (e.g., Excellence, Good, Bad, Accepted, Rejected), as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Model training and selection diagram

The training process involved the following steps:

Image Preprocessing: All images were resized to 800 x 800 pixels to maintain consistency, ensuring that the model could effectively extract meaningful features across various image types. *Model Optimization:* The Adam optimizer [17] was used to minimize the loss function (sparse categorical cross-entropy), ensuring that the model converged efficiently [18]. During training, performance metrics such as accuracy, prediction speed, and training time were monitored to evaluate the model's effectiveness. During training, performance metrics such as accuracy, prediction speed, and training time were monitored to evaluate the model's effectiveness. The training process was executed using Python 3.11.0 [19], Keras [20], and TensorFlow 2.13 [21]. *Evaluation Metrics:* In addition to accuracy, other

metrics such as precision, recall, and F1 score were used to comprehensively assess the model's effectiveness. These metrics are essential in ensuring that the system's predictions are reliable across different types of assignments and quality levels.

3.3. Web Implementation and User Interface

The third component of the M-Stock system is the development of a user-friendly web application that allows students and instructors to interact with the model in real time. The web application was developed using Streamlit 1.31.0 [22], a Python-based framework that simplifies the deployment of machine learning models in web environments. Users initiate the M-Stock system by accessing the website via the URL http://datascience.mfu.ac.th/mstock/. The application's user interface is designed to be intuitive, enabling students to submit their photographs for assessment quickly and easily, Figure 5 illustrates the user interface of the homepage.



Figure 5: The user interface of the homepage

The submission process involves the following steps: Image Upload: Students select the assignment type and upload their photographs via the web interface. The system supports image formats such as JPG and PNG, with a maximum file size of 200 MB per image. Image Preprocessing and Classification: Once an image is uploaded, the system preprocesses it by resizing and standardizing the input. The pre-trained CNN model then classifies the image, providing a prediction and confidence score for each category (e.g., Excellence, Good, Bad). Feedback Delivery: The classification results are displayed immediately, allowing students to receive prompt feedback on their work. This feedback can help students identify areas for improvement and refine their photography skills iteratively. The M-Stock web application includes 11 pages: one homepage and ten assignment pages. Each assignment page corresponds to a specific photography lesson, where students can view sample photographs and submit their own work for evaluation. The web application's architecture ensures that it can scale to accommodate larger datasets and more complex assignments as the photography curriculum evolves. The Assignments page's user interface is depicted in Figure 6.

Overall, the M-Stock system combines the power of CNNbased image classification with a tailored feedback mechanism to support student learning in photography. Through its combination of technical rigor, creative assessment, and real-time feedback, M-Stock offers a novel solution for enhancing photography education www.astesj.com in university settings. This method ensures that students receive immediate, meaningful feedback on their work, fostering continuous improvement and skill development in both technical and artistic aspects of photography.



Figure 6: The user interface of the assignment page

4. Evaluation And Results

The M-Stock system was evaluated based on its classification accuracy, prediction speed, and training time, along with additional metrics such as precision, recall, and F1 score to provide a comprehensive assessment. Furthermore, a pilot study was conducted with students to gather qualitative feedback on their learning experience with M-Stock. This section presents the experimental setup, results, and analysis, demonstrating M-Stock's efficacy in supporting photography education.

4.1. Experimental Setup

The M-Stock system was tested in a virtualized server environment using VMware ESXi [23], running Windows Server 2016 [24] with an 8-core CPU (2.10 GHz) and 16 GB of RAM. The dataset for training and testing included 4,616 student images from various photography assignments and 244 Shutterstock images. and the necessary software tools, including Python 3.11.0, Keras with TensorFlow 2.13.0, and Streamlit 1.31.0 for web deployment. The dataset was divided into an 80% training set and a 20% test set, ensuring a robust model capable of handling diverse image categories. The system's scalability and performance were also evaluated under different image resolutions and batch sizes. Additionally, a small-scale pilot study with 30 students was conducted to assess the impact of M-Stock's feedback on learning outcomes.

4.2. Model Performance

The M-Stock system was evaluated for its ability to accurately classify student photography submissions across various assignment types, including technical and creative tasks. To assess the model's effectiveness, we measured several key metrics: accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score for each assignment type. These metrics provide a comprehensive view of the model's classification performance, highlighting its strengths in technical precision and adaptability to different photography genres. The results are presented in Table 1

Photo Model	Accuracy (%)	Precision	Recall	F1-Score	Training Time (min.)	Prediction Speed (ms)
Fast Shutter Speed	96.72	0.96	0.95	0.96	28.7	47.1
Long Shutter Speed	96.93	0.97	0.96	0.97	39.1	46.7
Night Light Photography	98.36	0.98	0.97	0.98	45.5	50.6
Composition and Subject	98.77	0.99	0.98	0.99	70.4	43.7
Aperture, Depth of Field	95.33	0.95	0.94	0.95	14.7	47.9
Light and Shadow	95.47	0.94	0.95	0.94	35.4	45.3
Portrait Photography	99.53	0.99	0.99	0.99	119.2	43.4
Moving Subject	97.54	0.97	0.96	0.96	16.6	46.5
Product Photography	96.73	0.96	0.95	0.96	14.3	44.7
Shutterstock Project	96.39	0.95	0.94	0.94	20.3	45.2
Total (Average)	97.18	0.97	0.96	0.96	40.4	46.1

Table 1: Performance of each photo model for the M-Stock System

Accuracy: The system achieved an overall accuracy of 97.18%, with individual assignment accuracies ranging from 95.33% for Aperture, Depth of Field to 99.53% for Portrait Photography. The high accuracy demonstrates M-Stock's ability to consistently classify images across diverse photography tasks, from technical skills (e.g., Long Shutter Speed) to more composition-focused assignments (e.g., Composition and Subject). High accuracy in these varied tasks indicates that M-Stock can generalize well across different photographic techniques and styles, making it adaptable to a comprehensive photography curriculum. In addition to accuracy, we calculated precision, recall, and F1 scores for each assignment type to gain insights into M-Stock's classification reliability: Precision: High precision values (average of 0.97) indicate that M-Stock has a low rate of false positives, meaning it rarely misclassifies lower-quality images as higher quality. This is crucial in an educational context where students need accurate feedback to understand areas requiring improvement. Recall: The average recall of 0.96 shows M-Stock's effectiveness in identifying all images that meet specific quality criteria. High recall is especially important for technical assignments, as it ensures that the system accurately identifies images with correct exposure, composition, and other technical parameters. F1 Score: With an average F1 score of 0.97, M-Stock demonstrates a balanced performance in both identifying correct classifications and avoiding misclassifications. This score, the harmonic mean of precision and recall, confirms that the system provides reliable feedback, balancing sensitivity and specificity. The average prediction speed of 46.1 milliseconds per image shows that M-Stock provides rapid feedback, which is essential in real-time educational environments where students submit images and expect prompt responses. This quick feedback loop enables students to immediately identify mistakes and make improvements, reinforcing the learning process. The system's training time varies based on assignment type, with more complex tasks such as Portrait Photography taking longer (119.2 minutes) due to the intricate analysis required.

M-Stock's classification performance metrics demonstrate its effectiveness in providing real-time feedback across a wide range of photographic techniques. By maintaining high accuracy, precision, and recall across both technical and creative assignments, the system supports educators in delivering consistent, objective feedback to students. This capability is particularly beneficial in large classes, where individualized feedback is challenging to provide manually. With M-Stock, students can receive accurate, actionable feedback that promotes self-directed learning and skill refinement. Overall, M-Stock's classification performance confirms its suitability as a comprehensive educational tool, capable of assessing diverse photography tasks with high accuracy and efficiency. Future enhancements may involve refining these classification models further to increase precision and recall in more subjective creative categories, aligning with the evolving needs of photography education.

4.3. Scalability and Runtime Performance

The scalability of the M-Stock system was tested across various image resolutions and batch sizes to evaluate its capacity for handling large volumes of submissions in real-time classroom settings. Scalability is essential in educational applications where a high number of images may be submitted simultaneously, especially in large classes. The system was assessed under four different image resolutions—640x480 (low), 1280x720 (HD), 1920x1080 (Full HD), and 3840x2160 (4K)—to analyse the effect of image size on prediction speed and accuracy. For each image resolution, we measured the average prediction speed, batch processing time, and accuracy to determine the system's efficiency and robustness under increasing data sizes. Table 2 below illustrates these findings:

Table 2: Different Image Sizes	Experiment Results
--------------------------------	--------------------

Image Size	Prediction Speed (ms)	Processing Time (Sec)	Acc. (%)
640 x 480	39.5	2.1	96.3
1280 x 720	46.1	2.5	97.2
1920 x 1080	52.4	3.2	97.8
3840 x 2160	74.6	5.4	98.1

These results show that the system maintains high accuracy across all resolutions, with a minimal decrease in prediction speed as image size increases. For low and HD resolutions, prediction times are under 50 milliseconds, allowing near-instantaneous feedback in real-time applications. Full HD and 4K images take slightly longer to process, but the prediction speeds are still well within acceptable limits for classroom use, ensuring efficient operation even for high-quality images. The accuracy remains high across resolutions, demonstrating that M-Stock's performance does not degrade with larger image sizes. The system's batch processing ability was evaluated to simulate high-demand situations where multiple students submit images simultaneously. We processed batches of 50 images at different resolutions, recording the total processing time required. M-Stock handled batch submissions with only a slight increase in processing time for higher-resolution images, completing a 50-image batch in approximately 2.1 seconds at low resolution and 5.4 seconds at 4K. This capability indicates that M-Stock is well-suited to handle real-time feedback needs in large classes, where simultaneous submissions are common.

4.4. User Satisfaction

To assess user satisfaction with the M-Stock system, a survey was conducted among 30 students and 5 instructors during the pilot study. The survey evaluated four key dimensions: ease of use, feedback clarity, perceived usefulness, and overall experience. Participants rated each dimension on a Likert scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The results are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: User Satisfaction Survey Results

Dimension	Average	SD	Agreement $(\text{Score} \ge 4)$
Ease of Use	4.7	0.3	93%
Feedback Clarity	4.5	0.4	87%
Perceived Usefulness	4.6	0.5	90%
Overall Experience	4.6	0.3	92%

The survey results indicate high levels of satisfaction across all dimensions. Students found the system's interface intuitive and straightforward, with an average score of 4.7 for ease of use. Feedback clarity received an average score of 4.5, reflecting the comprehensibility of the AI-generated evaluations. The system's ability to enhance photography skills was rated 4.6 on average, indicating its perceived effectiveness in promoting self-directed learning. Overall, users rated their experience with the system highly, with an average score of 4.6 and 92% agreement. Qualitative responses also highlighted specific benefits, such as the speed of feedback delivery and the ability to focus on iterative improvement. Some suggestions for enhancement included adding more nuanced assessments of creative aspects, such as artistic style and emotional impact. The results demonstrate that M-Stock effectively supports both teaching and learning objectives, providing a user-friendly, impactful solution for photography education.

Students also reported appreciating the quick turnaround time of feedback, which allowed them to adjust in near real-time. These findings suggest that M-Stock's formative feedback supports continuous learning, enhancing students' technical and creative skills. The results show that the M-Stock system performed exceptionally well in both educational and commercial contexts. The high accuracy rates across all categories demonstrate that the CNN model is capable of handling diverse photographic styles and quality levels. The relatively low prediction speed of 46.1 milliseconds per image allows the system to provide immediate feedback, which is crucial for enhancing the learning experience in photography courses. The results of the photo quality assessments for each assignment are displayed in Figure 7.



Figure 7: The photo quality assessment in 'Excellence' result

The portrait photography model, which achieved the highest accuracy (99.53%), required the longest training time (119.2 minutes). This indicates that more complex assignments, which involve intricate features such as lighting and composition in portrait photography, require more computational resources to train effectively. However, once trained, the model can classify images quickly and accurately. In contrast, simpler assignments, such as Product Photography and Moving Subject, required significantly less training time but still achieved high accuracy, indicating that the model can generalize well across different photography styles. The Shutterstock project data also yielded strong results, with an accuracy of 96.39%. This indicates that the system can meet industry standards for evaluating commercial photography, providing feedback that aligns with professional evaluation criteria. The results of the photo quality assessments for the Shutterstock project are presented in Figure 8.



Figure 8: The photo quality assessment in 'Excellence' result

The evaluation results indicate that M-Stock performs reliably across technical metrics, while the pilot study confirms its positive impact on student learning. The high accuracy, coupled with quick feedback delivery, underscores M-Stock's suitability for real-time educational applications. The scalability tests further demonstrate that the system is robust enough to handle diverse classroom environments with high submission volumes. Overall, M-Stock provides a comprehensive assessment experience for photography students, offering both technical precision and creative guidance. Future work may explore expanding the system's feedback capabilities to include more nuanced assessments of creative elements, potentially incorporating reinforcement learning techniques to adapt feedback based on individual student progress.

5. Conclusion

The M-Stock system represents a significant advancement in photography education by leveraging the power of artificial intelligence to provide automated, real-time feedback on both technical and creative aspects of student submissions. By utilizing Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), the system achieved high accuracy (97.18%) and rapid prediction speeds (46.1 milliseconds per image), making it a reliable and scalable solution for dynamic classroom environments. Through a combination of quantitative evaluations and qualitative user feedback, the study demonstrated that M-Stock effectively enhances student learning experiences. Students reported improvements in their technical skills, selfdirected learning, and overall engagement, while instructors appreciated the system's ability to maintain consistent evaluation standards across large class sizes. The system's ease of use and comprehensive feedback mechanisms make it a valuable tool for fostering continuous learning and skill development in photography courses.

Despite these achievements, challenges remain in assessing highly subjective creative elements, such as artistic style and emotional impact. Future iterations of M-Stock should incorporate advanced techniques, such as reinforcement learning or generative models, to provide deeper insights into these aspects. Additionally, expanding the platform to support other creative disciplines, such as graphic design and visual arts, could broaden its applicability and impact. In conclusion, M-Stock exemplifies how AI can transform education by addressing key limitations of traditional assessment methods. By combining technical rigor with creative evaluation, the system not only meets the evolving needs of photography education but also sets the stage for broader applications of AI in creative and technical learning environments.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

The web server used in this study M-Stock, AI-Based Photography Assessment System using Convolutional Neural Networks was supported by the Center of Excellence in Artificial Intelligence and Emerging Technologies, School of Applied Digital Technology, Mae Fah Luang University.

References

- T. Crawford, C. DeLaney, Starting your career as a freelance photographer, Simon and Schuster, 2017.
- [2] H. Alkan, O. Topuz, B. İnce, Ş. Kapıkıran, "The effects of basic photography education on quality of life, self-esteem, life satisfaction and moods in children with diplegic cerebral palsy: A randomized controlled study," Physical & Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics, 42(1), 1–11, 2021.
- [3] C.-M. Chen, "Personalized E-learning system with self-regulated learning assisted mechanisms for promoting learning performance," Expert Systems with Applications, 36(5), 8816–8829, 2009.

- [4] T. Zoltie, T. Shemwood, "Instructional design of a clinical photography course for undergraduate dental students," Journal of Visual Communication in Medicine, 42(2), 47–51, 2019.
- [5] M. Munakata, A. Vaidya, "Encouraging creativity in mathematics and science through photography," Teaching Mathematics and Its Applications: International Journal of the IMA, 31(3), 121–132, 2012.
- [6] Z. Yanhua, L. Jiaogang, "Research on the expression of photography language under digital technology," in 2020 5th International Conference on Electromechanical Control Technology and Transportation (ICECTT), IEEE: 228–230, 2020.
- M.C. Sahin, "Instructional design principles for 21st century learning skills," Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 1(1), 1464–1468, 2009.
- [8] D. Freer, Microstock photography: how to make money from your digital images, Routledge, 2008.
- [9] K. Kemavuthanon, "Integrated E-project collaborative management system: Empirical study for problem-based learning project," in 2017 9th International Conference on Information Technology and Electrical Engineering (ICITEE), IEEE: 1–5, 2017.
- [10] L. Jacobs, Professional commercial photography: techniques and images from master digital photographers, Amherst Media, 2010.
- [11] N. Thongsibsong, K. Kemavuthanon, S. Vorapatratorn, "Enhancing Student Photography Skills with Web-based Photo Stock and Learning System," in 2023 20th International Joint Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering (JCSSE), IEEE: 454–457, 2023.
- [12] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, G.E. Hinton, "ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks," Communications of the ACM, 60(6), 84–90, 2017.
- [13] N. Thongsibsong, "Photography Supporting System for Distance Learning in University," in 2021 13th International Conference on Information Technology and Electrical Engineering (ICITEE), IEEE: 15–18, 2021.
- [14] B. Sahu, "Digital Tools for Educational Enhancement," Digital Narratives in Education, 78, 2024.
- [15] Q. Jadwan, "How to get Money From Shutterstock Contributor in Smartphone," Hikamatzul Journal of Multidisciplinary, 1(1), 7–12, 2024.
- [16] T. Kattenborn, J. Leitloff, F. Schiefer, S. Hinz, "Review on Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) in vegetation remote sensing," ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 173, 24–49, 2021.
- [17] A. Keras, "Keras 3 API documentation," Metrics, Regression Metrics Https://Keras. Io/Api/Metrics/Regression_metrics, 2024.
- [18] M. Yeung, E. Sala, C.-B. Schönlieb, L. Rundo, "Unified focal loss: Generalising dice and cross entropy-based losses to handle class imbalanced medical image segmentation," Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics, 95, 102026, 2022.
- [19] G. VanRossum, F.L. Drake, The python language reference, Python Software Foundation Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2010.
- [20] E. Dumić, "Learning neural network design with TensorFlow and Keras," in ICERI2024 Proceedings, IATED: 10689–10696, 2024.
- [21] T. Developers, "TensorFlow," Zenodo, 2022.
- [22] A. Streamlit: "A faster way to build and share data apps," Faster Way to Build and Share Data Apps, 2024.
- [23] L. Patrão, VMware and vSphere Overview, Springer: 9-18, 2024.
- [24] J. Krause, Mastering Windows Server 2016, Packt Publishing Ltd, 2016.

Copyright: This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-SA) license (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/</u>