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#### Abstract

In classical cryptography, the Hill cipher is a polygraphic substitution cipher based on linear algebra. In this work, we proposed a new problem applicable to the public key cryptography, based on the Matrices, called "Matrix discrete logarithm problem", it uses certain elements formed by matrices whose coefficients are elements in a finite field. We have constructed an abelian group and, for the cryptographic part in this unreliable group, we then perform the computation corresponding to the algebraic equations, Returning the encrypted result to a receiver. Upon receipt of the result, the receiver can retrieve the sender's clear message by performing the inverse calculation.


## 1 Introduction

Public key cryptographic is the fundamental technology in secure communications. It was devised by Diffie and Hellman in 1976 to secret key distribution. The mathematical problems more used are the discrete logarithm problem (DLP). In 1985 the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) was proposed independently by Koblitz and Miller. In this paper, we present the Matrix discrete logarithm problem in a new cryptographic scheme. Consider a finite field $L=\mathbb{F}_{q}$, where q is a power of p the characteristic of $L .[1,2,3]$
Throughout this work, we denote:
$L^{*}$ multiplicative group of $L$.
Let $a, b \in L^{*}$ and let $x, y \in L$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{y}^{x}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{x}{a}-1 & \frac{y}{b}-1 \\
\frac{y}{b}+1 & \frac{x}{a}+1
\end{array}\right) \\
G=\left\{M_{y}^{x} / \operatorname{det}\left(M_{y}^{x}\right)=1\right\} \\
G_{q}=G \bmod p . \\
M_{y_{1}}^{x_{1}} \Delta M_{y_{2}}^{x_{2}}=M_{y_{3}}^{x_{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1):\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{3}=\frac{b^{2} x_{1} x_{2}+a^{2} y_{1} y_{2}}{x_{1} b^{2}} \\
y_{3}=\frac{x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}}{a}
\end{array}\right. \\
& M_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{k^{2}+1}{2 k}-1 & \frac{k^{2}-1}{2 k}-1 \\
\frac{k^{2}-1}{2 k}+1 & \frac{k^{2}+1}{2 k}+1
\end{array}\right), k \in L^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
m=\left|G_{q}\right|
$$

The next theorem whose proof is evident.

Theorem 1 The set $G_{q}$ with the operator $\triangle$ defined by (1) is a abelian group.
The identity element is $M_{0}^{a}$, that if $M=M_{y}^{x}$ then $N=$ $M_{-y}^{x}$ is the invertible element of $M$.

Remark 1 The MDLP consists of following for two elements $M, N \in G_{q}$, determine the scaler $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ such that $M^{\Delta k}=N$. It is necessary that $M$ be a generator of the group $G_{q}$.

Assumption 1 Given a group $G_{q}$ and tow elements $M$ and $N \in G_{q}$, there exists non polynomial time algorithm $\theta(\operatorname{logq})$ deciding the integer $k$ such that $M^{\Delta k}=N$ if such a $k$ exists.

Assumption 2 Given a group $G_{q}$ and $\theta(\operatorname{logq})$ elements $N_{i}$ on $G_{q}$, there exists non polynomial time algorithm $(\theta(\operatorname{logq}))$ deciding the integers $k_{i}$, such that

$$
N_{1}^{\Delta k_{1}} \Delta N_{2}^{\Delta k_{2}} \Delta \ldots \ldots . \Delta N_{\theta(\operatorname{logq})}^{\Delta k_{\theta(\log q)}}=M
$$

if such $k_{i}$ exist, where $M$ is a random element on $G_{q}$.
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## 2 Matrix Cryptosystem

### 2.1 Key distribution protocols

Let $M_{y}^{x}$ be a generator of the group $G_{q}$.
Alice take a private key $1<l<m$, and computes $M_{y_{l}}^{x_{l}}=$ $M_{y}^{x \Delta l}$, then she transmits $M_{y_{l}}^{x_{l}}$ to Bob.
Similar, Bob takes a private key $1<t<m$, and computes $M_{y_{t}}^{x_{t}}=M_{y}^{x \Delta t}$ and transmits $M_{y_{t}}^{x_{t}}$ to Alice.
In the same way Alice and Bob compute $M_{y_{t l}}^{x_{t l}}=M_{y_{t}}^{x_{t} \Delta l}$ and $M_{y_{l t}}^{x_{l t}}=M_{y_{l}}^{x_{l} \Delta t}$ respectively.

## Theorem 2

$$
\frac{x_{l t}}{a}+\frac{y_{l t}}{b}=\frac{x_{t l}}{a}+\frac{y_{t l}}{b} \bmod p
$$

The secret key is $\alpha=\frac{x_{t l}}{a}+\frac{y_{t l}}{b} \bmod p$

### 2.2 Description of This Cryptosystem

Let $L=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $q=p^{n}$.

1) Space of lights: $P=G_{q}$.
2) Space of quantified: $C=G_{q}$.
3)Space of the keys: $K=L^{*}$.
4)Function of encryption: $\forall \alpha \in K$,

$$
\begin{array}{l|rll}
e_{\alpha}: & \longrightarrow C \\
& M_{y}^{x} & \longmapsto & e_{\alpha}\left(M_{y}^{x}\right)=M_{y}^{x} \Delta M_{\alpha}
\end{array}
$$

5)Function of decryption: $\forall \alpha \in K$,

$$
\begin{array}{l|lll}
d_{\alpha}: & C & \longrightarrow & P \\
& M_{y}^{x} & \longmapsto & d_{\alpha}\left(M_{y}^{x}\right)=M_{y}^{x} \Delta M_{-\alpha}
\end{array}
$$

## Remark 2

$$
d_{\alpha} o e_{\alpha}\left(M_{y}^{x}\right)=M_{y}^{x} \Delta M_{\alpha} \Delta M_{-\alpha}=M_{y}^{x}
$$

Remark 3 a) Secret key: $\alpha$
b) Public keys:

1) Space of lights; $P$
2) Space of quantified; $C$
3) Space of the keys; K
4) Generator of the group $P ; M_{y}^{x}$
5) Function of encryption; $e_{\alpha}$
6) Function of decryption; $d_{\alpha}$

Remark 4 The $M_{y_{l}}^{x_{l}}, M_{y_{t}}^{x_{t}}$ and $m$ are public and can known by another person, but to obtain the private key $\alpha$, it is necessary to solve the Matrix problem discrete logarithm in $G_{q}$, what returns the discovery of the difficult key $\alpha$.

### 2.3 Numerical Example

Alice and Bob Choose the following public numbers; $p=41, a=2, b=5$, and $n=1$. They determine the group $G_{41}=\left\langle M_{31}^{26}\right\rangle$, with the identity element $M_{0}^{2}$.

1) Exchange of the key deprived between Alice and Bob:
Alice take a private key; $l=13<39$, calculation; $M_{23}^{13}=$ $M_{31}^{26 \Delta 13}$ and send to Bob $M_{23}^{13}$. In turn, Bob take a private key; $t=21<39$, calculation; $M_{10}^{15}=M_{31}^{26 \Delta 21}$ and send it to Alice. Alice and Bob calculate separately : $M_{18}^{13}=M_{10}^{15 \Delta 13}$ and $M_{18}^{13}=M_{23}^{13 \Delta 21}$. They determine their secret key:

$$
\alpha=\frac{13}{2}+\frac{18}{5}=6 \bmod 41
$$

2) Message to send:

Alice wants to send the following message

$$
m e=\left\{M_{18}^{28}, M_{4}^{0}, M_{27}^{23}, M_{36}^{34}\right\}
$$

It encrypts it using the encryption function

| $M_{y}^{x}$ | $e_{6}\left(M_{y}^{x}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $M_{18}^{28}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{cc}39 & 40 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |
| $M_{4}^{0}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}15 & 37 \\ 39 & 17\end{array}\right)$ |
| $M_{27}^{23}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}28 & 13 \\ 17 & 30\end{array}\right)$ |
| $M_{36}^{34}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}28 & 25 \\ 27 & 30\end{array}\right)$ |

3) Message received:

Bob receives message crypt send by Alice

$$
m r=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
28 & 25 \\
27 & 30
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
28 & 13 \\
17 & 30
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
15 & 37 \\
39 & 17
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
39 & 40 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

It decrypts it using the decryption function

| $M_{y}^{x}$ | $d_{6}\left(M_{y}^{x}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\begin{array}{cc}39 & 40 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ | $M_{18}^{28}$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{cc}15 & 37 \\ 39 & 17\end{array}\right)$ | $M_{4}^{0}$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{ll}28 & 25 \\ 27 & 30\end{array}\right)$ | $M_{36}^{34}$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{ll}28 & 13 \\ 17 & 30\end{array}\right)$ | $M_{27}^{23}$ |

## 3 Example for cryptography

In this example we take $p=3, a=b=1, n=3$, and $\alpha$ root of the polynomial $X^{3}+2 X+1$. We have $P=G_{27}$, $C=G_{27}$, and $K=\mathbb{F}_{27}^{*}$ and $M_{\alpha^{2}}^{2 \alpha+2}$ is a generator of the group $P$.

1) Exchange of the key deprived:

Alice take a private key $l=12<25$, send to Bob $M_{\alpha^{2}}^{\alpha+1}=$ $M_{\alpha^{2}}^{2 \alpha+2 \Delta l}$. Bob take a private key $t=20<25$, send to Alice $M_{2 \alpha^{2}+\alpha+1}^{2 \alpha^{2}+2}=M_{\alpha^{2}}^{2 \alpha+2 \Delta t}$. Their secret key is

$$
\beta=2 \alpha^{2}+2+\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha+2=2 \alpha+1
$$

## 2) Message Encryption:

It is known that the encryption functions and the decryption functions are defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{\beta}\left(M_{y}^{x}\right)=M_{y}^{x} \Delta M_{\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha+2}^{2 \alpha^{2}+2} \\
& d_{\beta}\left(M_{y}^{x}\right)=M_{y}^{x} \Delta M_{2 \alpha^{2}+\alpha+1}^{2 \alpha^{2}+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lets $x=i \alpha^{2}+j \alpha+k$ and $y=l \alpha^{2}+m \alpha+n$, we denote $M_{y}^{x}$ by ijklmn.

Each letter is represented by a ijklmn character. Often the simple The scheme $a=001000, b=010112, \ldots$, $z=221102$ is used, but this is Not an essential feature of encryption. To encrypt a message, each letter will be decrypted by the decryption function, for a message one obtains a block of $n$ letters (considered as an $n$-component vector). Consider the message 'bonjour' Which will be encrypted by the message: "crvzrng".

Table of the Symbol Encryption

| $M_{y}^{x}$ | Symbol | $e_{\beta}\left(M_{y}^{x}\right)$ | Encrypt Symbol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001000 | $a$ | 202120 | $h$ |
| 010112 | $b$ | 010220 | $c$ |
| 010220 | $c$ | 012210 | $d$ |
| 012210 | $d$ | 211110 | $s$ |
| 012122 | $e$ | 010112 | $b$ |
| 122110 | $f$ | 021210 | $q$ |
| 122222 | $g$ | 112102 | $m$ |
| 202120 | $h$ | 011101 | $j$ |
| 202212 | $i$ | 001000 | $a$ |
| 011101 | $j$ | 221102 | $z$ |
| 011201 | $k$ | 202212 | $i$ |
| 112200 | $l$ | 122110 | $f$ |
| 112102 | $m$ | 022101 | $w$ |
| 002001 | $n$ | 101212 | $v$ |
| 020112 | $o$ | 021122 | $r$ |
| 020220 | $p$ | 020112 | $o$ |
| 021210 | $q$ | 020220 | $p$ |
| 021122 | $r$ | 122222 | $g$ |
| 211110 | $s$ | 221200 | $y$ |
| 211222 | $t$ | 012122 | $e$ |
| 101120 | $u$ | 002001 | $n$ |
| 101212 | $v$ | 022201 | $x$ |
| 022101 | $w$ | 101120 | $u$ |
| 022201 | $x$ | 112200 | $l$ |
| 221200 | $y$ | 011201 | $k$ |
| 221102 | $z$ | 211222 | $t$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## 4 Conclusion

Although matrix multiplication can not provide security for the encryption of a message [4, 5, 6], we have been able to construct a law of internal composition other than the law of multiplication, which allows us to create a cryptography on the matrices and which is safer for a key of reasonable length.
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