A sequential pre-treatment of demineralization and torrefaction, was carried out on palm empty fruit bunches (EFB). EFB and demineralized EFB (DEFB) were torrefied in a vertical tubular reactor in the temperature range of 200 to 280 °C for 30 mins under nitrogen (flow rate: 100 mL/min. The pretreated samples were characterized using proximate and ultimate analyses, fuel properties, and Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy techniques. The thermal and kinetic study on the torrefied samples were carried out using thermogravimetric analysis. The results showed that sequential pre-treatment enhances the properties of solid EFB by increasing the carbon content and reducing the oxygen content with increasing the calorific value. Fuel properties of torrefied samples showed the mass and energy yield decreased, with an increase in energy density. In addition, the FTIR spectra showed the decomposition of hemicellulose occurring for torrefied samples as evidenced by the disappearance of the vibrational features belonging to hydroxyl and carbonyl groups. The kinetic study carried out using Coats-Redfern method on torrefied samples suggested that the activation energy can be transferred by the sequential pre-treatment, indicating that the abundant energy it has can be converted into bio oil of high quality. Apparently, torrefied samples bear high potential to be used as biofuel feedstock when exposed to further thermal decomposition and pyrolysis processes.
utilization of biomass in producing the energy due to vulnerable to
biological attack and biodegradation [10]. In addition, nearly 60 %
of water contained in wet EFB and the heating value of the dry
EFB is roughly half that of palm oil [4]. Thus, EFB is unsuitable to
be directly burnt for energy production. Therefore, some pre-
treatments need to be introduced on EFB prior to pyrolysis to
produce high quality of bio oil that contain hydrocarbon content
with low carboxylic acid molecules [9,10].

From previous research, feasible pre-treatments on EFB have
been investigated such as demineralization and torrefaction in
order to enhance the properties of biomass prior to thermal
conversion [11,12,13]. Demineralization is an efficient pre-
treatment that can be carried out using water, acid or alkaline
solution to eliminate the inorganic compounds that assist the
secondary reaction during the pyrolysis. Although water reduced
about 50 % concentration of inorganic compounds in most of the
biomass, chemicals like acid and alkali solution eliminated almost
100 % of the compounds [14,15].

Generally, torrefaction is the often-applied pre-treatment to
improve the quality of biomass. The process is carried out in an
inert condition at atmospheric pressure between the temperatures
of 200-320 °C. This process is a mild version of slow pyrolysis
with the aim of reducing the carbonyl, carboxyl, hydroxyl group
compounds, and lowering moisture and oxygen contents. In
addition, the grindability and hydrophobicity are improved by
breaking down the cell wall and fiber structures of the biomass
[11]. Washing, drying and size reduction on biomass is a simple
pre-treatment that need to be prepared prior to pyrolysis [18].
Basically, an efficient pre-treatment was seen to be easier,
economic and less time consuming with simple processing steps.
Nevertheless, single pre-treatment such as demineralization or
torrefaction alone was as an inappropriate method to obtain high
quality bio oil [9,15,17] from raw EFB having high AAEMs and
oxygen content.

Previously, application of demineralization and torrefaction
sequentially was reported as a novel promising method to reduce
the undesirable natural catalyst (AAEMs), moisture, acetyl and
acid contents of the biomass samples [10,17]. Therefore, a
combination of demineralisation and torrefaction processes was
applied to reduce the oxygen, carboxyl, water and ash contents
of biomass [19]. Similarly, demineralization using water washing
technique combined with torrefaction pre-treatment on microwave
pyrolysis of rice husk resulted in large elimination of inorganic
compounds which thus, could enhance the quality of fuel
characteristic [17].

Pyrolysis of biomass such as EFB, PKS, cotton stalk and rice
straw has been performed under dynamic non-isothermal
condition in thermogravimetric analyser. To our best knowledge,
a few investigations on thermal behaviour and most kinetic studies
of biomass pyrolysis have been done using thermogravimetric
analyzer [4,18,19,20]. In addition, more information or data on
kinetic parameters, i.e., activation energy, pre-exponential factor,
reaction order and mechanism of reaction can be generated from
thermogravimetric analysis [23].

However, there is limited information about physicochemical
properties of TEFB and TDEFB at various torrefaction
temperatures and very scarce information is available on pyrolysis
of demineralized torrefied biomass especially using model free
method to calculate activation energy. Thus, the present study was
performed to investigate the effect of the sequential application of
demineralization and torrefaction at 200, 220, 240, 260 and 280 °C
to enhance the solid fuel qualities.

The basic characteristic of pretreated oil palm EFB including
proximate and ultimate analyses, fuel properties and FTIR analysis
were elucidated for further utilization of this biomass feedstock.
Furthermore, the thermal behaviour and kinetic study of the
selected torrefied EFB (i.e. TEFB 240) and torrefied demineralized
EFB (i.e. TDEFB 240) were also searched during pyrolysis using
thermogravimetric analysis. These data are of significant
importance to understand the thermal decomposition and for
further effective upgrading of the pre-treatment process. Besides,
the thermochemical evolution profiles help designing suitable
reactor and setting the optimum condition parameters for the
system.

2. Methodology

2.1. Biomass samples preparation

The empty fruit bunches (EFB) were collected from the United
Oil Palm Industries Sdn Bhd located in Nibong Tebal, Pulau
Pinang, Malaysia. The biomass was rinsed with tap water to
remove impurities and dried in oven at 105 °C for 24 h. It was
ground using a hammer mill machine into small particle size and
sieved using the sieve shaker to 0.5-1.0 cm. Finally, the dried
biomass was stored in air-tight containers for further use.

2.2. Sample labels

Untreated EFB, demineralized EFB, torrefied EFB, torrefied
demineralized EFB, EFB torrefied at 240 °C and torrefied
demineralized EFB at 240 °C were represented as EFB, DEFB,
TEFB240 and TDEFB240, respectively.

2.3. Demineralization of EFB (DEFB)

Demineralization of EFB was carried out to eliminate AAEMs
that reduce the ash content in the sample. From our preliminary
work, sonication for 10 min of EFB in 1 % nitric acid solution at
room temperature gave positive results with minimum ash content
of 0.74 % in comparison to 4.21 % in untreated EFB [1]. This
demineralized EFB sample was used for torrefaction study here.

100 g of EFB was placed in a flask containing 500 mL of 1%
nitric acid and was sonicated for 10 min in ultrasonic bath at room
temperature. After acid leaching, the sample was filtered and dried
at 105 °C for 24 h to a constant weight. Weight measurements for
all samples before and after the pre-treatment were carried out
using an electronic balance.

2.4. Torrefaction experiment

Torrefaction experiments were performed in a vertical tube
fixed bed reactor shown schematically in Figure 1. Each
experiment was conducted with 2.0 g of untreated and
demineralized EFB samples in inert atmosphere under nitrogen
flow (100 mL/min) from ambient temperature to various
torrefaction temperatures of 200, 220, 240, 260 and 280 °C, bu
keeping at the selected temperature for 30 min. Nitrogen flow was
purged before and after 5 min of the experiment and the sample
was removed from the reactor after cooling.

2.5. Characterization of EFB, TEFB and TDEFB samples

For each sample, the moisture and ash content, calorific value
and ultimate and proximate analyses were carried out. The
moisture content was measured by drying the samples at 105 °C for 4 h [24]. The total ash content and caloric value were determined by ASTM E-1755-01 [25] and ASTM D 2015 – 96 [26] using LECO bomb calorimeter AC-350, respectively. The ultimate analysis of EFB samples was performed according to ASTM D3176 [27] using CHNS-O Flash 2000 with O content determined by difference. Proximate analysis of EFB, TEFB and TDEFB was carried out using Mettler Toledo thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA). 10.0 mg of samples were pyrolyzed from ambient temperature to 900 °C at the heating rate of 20 °C/min. The volatile matter was determined using TG/DTG curves and fixed carbon was calculated by difference.

The Inductive couple plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) was used to determine the inorganic metal content of the EFB and DEFB samples. 300 ± 10 mg of samples were digested with 2.5 mL of 65 % nitric acid and 2.5 mL of 30 % hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) in high performance microwave digestion system, Ethos One. The temperature was ramped to 90 °C over 15 min, held for 5 min, then ramped again to 180 °C over 10 min and held for 15 min [11]. The digested samples were analysed using Perkin Elmer Optima 8000 ICP-OES.

Qualitative analysis of functional groups in each EFB samples was conducted using Perkin Elmer Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) technique. The samples were scanned in the range from 4000-600 cm⁻¹ at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. For fuel properties analyses, the mass and energy yields of each samples were calculated using equations (1), (2) [28] and energy density equation (3) [29].

\[
\text{Mass yield (} Y_{\text{mass}} \text{)} = \frac{\text{Mass after torrefaction}}{\text{Mass of raw sample}} \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Energy yield (} Y_{\text{energy}} \text{)} = Y_{\text{mass}} \times \frac{\text{heating value of torrefied sample}}{\text{heating value of raw sample}} \times 100\% \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{Energy density (} Y_{\text{energy density}} \text{)} = \frac{\text{Energy yield (} Y_{\text{energy}} \text{)}}{\text{Mass yield (} Y_{\text{mass}} \text{)}} \quad (3)
\]

Thermal and kinetic analyses of the EFB, TEFB240 and TDEFB240 samples were carried out using a Mettler Toledo thermogravimetric analyser. 10.0 mg of each EFB sample pyrolysed at the heating rate of 20 °C/min under nitrogen flow (100 mL/min) from ambient temperature to 900 °C.

In this study, Coats-Redfern method which is widely used to follow the thermal decomposition of biomass [4,18,20,28] was applied for modelling the decomposition kinetics of raw EFB, TEFB240 and TDEFB240 samples. By this method, kinetic parameters such as activation energy, \( E_a \) and pre-exponential factors, \( ln A \) can be determined. In kinetic analysis, the rate of pyrolysis was assumed as:

\[
\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = kf(\alpha) \quad (4)
\]

In this equation, \( \alpha \) represents the progress of reaction or the conversion which occurs between 0.05 to 0.95. \( \alpha \) is the progress of reaction during the biomass decomposition which can be defined as:

\[
\alpha = \frac{w_0 - w_f}{w_0 - w_f} \quad (5)
\]

where \( w_0, w_f \), and \( w_t \) are the initial, current (at time t) and final weight of the biomass samples. The rate is constant and dependent on the activation energy \( (E_a) \).

\[
k = A \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT}\right) \quad (6)
\]

Considering the assumption of the Coats-Redfern method \((2RT/E <<1)\), and following the first order reaction kinetic, equation (7) represents the kinetic equation used to calculate the activation energy \( (E_a) \) and pre-exponential factors, \( ln A \).

\[
\ln \left[\frac{\ln(1-\alpha)}{T^2}\right] = \ln \left[\frac{AR}{BE_a}\left(1 - \frac{2RT}{E_a}\right)\right] - \frac{E}{RT} \quad (7)
\]

According to kinetic equation (7), the plot of \( \ln[-\ln(1-\alpha)/T^2] \) against \( 1/T \) give a correlation straight line with the first order kinetics. The activation energy and the pre-exponential factor, \( ln A \) can be determined from the slope and the intercept of the straight line, respectively [31].

### 3. Result and Discussion

#### 3.1. Proximate analysis of EFB, DEFB, TEFB and TDEFB

The proximate analysis of EFB, DEFB, TEFB and TDEFB is shown in Table 1. Apparently, the ash content of DEFB dramatically decreased to 0.74 wt. % in comparison with EFB at 4.21 %.

Moreover, the effect of torrefaction on DEFB (represented as TDEFB) can be seen from the increase in the ash content changing in the range from 1.31 – 2.69 %. Likewise, for TEFB, as the torrefaction temperature increased from 200 to 240 °C, the ash content increased slightly and almost doubled at a higher torrefaction temperature of 260-280 °C in comparison with EFB. It was due to the induces partial removal of volatiles from biomass
after the torrefaction pre-treatment. Indeed, torrefaction has a marked effect on the ash content of both the TEFB and TDEFB samples. This implies that sequential application of demineralization and torrefaction on EFB reduced the ash content and offset the negative impact on the increased of ash content due to torrefaction [19].

Table 1. Proximate analysis of EFB, TDEFB and TDEB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Proximate analysis (% db)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volatile matter (VM)</td>
<td>Fixed carbon (FC)</td>
<td>Ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFB</td>
<td>53.85</td>
<td>41.93</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEFB 200</td>
<td>72.95</td>
<td>22.49</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEFB 220</td>
<td>72.54</td>
<td>22.64</td>
<td>4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEFB 240</td>
<td>45.95</td>
<td>49.12</td>
<td>4.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEFB 260</td>
<td>46.83</td>
<td>44.67</td>
<td>8.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEFB 280</td>
<td>46.81</td>
<td>43.59</td>
<td>9.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEFB 200</td>
<td>59.23</td>
<td>39.45</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEFB 220</td>
<td>56.27</td>
<td>42.35</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEFB 240</td>
<td>60.04</td>
<td>35.08</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEFB 260</td>
<td>69.09</td>
<td>29.13</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEFB 280</td>
<td>47.98</td>
<td>49.32</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With reference to volatile matter content, TEFB at low torrefaction temperature range of 200 and 220 °C showed a drastic increase by about 35 % in comparison to that of EFB. On contrary, fixed carbon at low torrefaction temperatures (200-220 °C) of TEFB decreased by 46 % which is significant. This trend can be explained by the dehydration and decarboxylation of hemicellulose which occurring at lower torrefaction temperature [30,31]. During the dehydration and decarboxylation, hemicellulose decomposes into H₂O, CO₂, CO and solid char as well as low molecular weight hydrocarbon [28].

Consequently, at the higher torrefaction temperature range from 240 to 280 °C, volatile matter of TEFB was found to decrease with the increase in fixed carbon. This observation is attributed to the initial thermal decomposition of cellulose [34] which completely destroys the cell structure of EFB and causes a further increase in the amount of fixed carbon during high torrefaction temperature [26,33].

As for TDEFB, the volatile matter content became slightly higher with the reduction in fixed carbon content in the torrefaction temperature range from 200 to 260 °C in comparison with EFB. These results indicated a slow rate decomposition of hemicellulose in DEFB during torrefaction. Similar observation was also reported in other studies investigated the influence of inorganic metals on the decomposition of biomass [36]. In contrast, at the torrefaction temperature of 280 °C of TDEFB, the reduction of volatile matter and the increase in fixed carbon content were observed.

This observation might be due to the extensive decomposition of hemicellulose into volatiles and solid products that took place at more severe torrefaction condition [37]. These results indicated that demineralization pre-treatment influenced the degradation of lignocellulosic components in EFB and facilitated the decomposition of hemicellulose and cellulose at different temperatures during torrefaction pre-treatment.

3.2. Ultimate analysis and calorific value of EFB, DEFB, TEFB and TDEB

The H/C ratio, O/C ratio and calorific value for EFB, DEFB, TEFB and TDEB are listed in Table 2. The ultimate analysis revealed the alterations of chemical composition of EFB which occurred after the pre-treatment of demineralization and torrefaction. The carbon content was found to increase for single sequential pre-treatment of demineralization and torrefaction. When the torrefaction temperature was increased, the carbon content gradually increased whereas hydrogen and oxygen contents of TEFB and TDEB samples decreased. These findings can be explained by the effects of dehydration, decarboxylation, and demethanation reactions of hemicellulose [38] and partial depolymerization of cellulose during torrefaction [34].

In the literature [35], the atomic ratio of O/C and H/C in biomass are found between 0.4 to 0.8 and 1.2 to 2.0, respectively. As shown in Table 2, H/C ratio of DEFB was slightly lower in comparison with EFB which decreased from 1.66 to 1.52. Similar trend was also observed for H/C and O/C ratio of TEFB and TDEB when the torrefaction temperature was increased from 200 to 280 °C. This clearly indicated that, moisture and light volatile compounds which contain high hydrogen and oxygen were eliminated from EFB and DEFB, and consequently the carbon content of the materials increased after torrefaction. In general, sulphur and nitrogen content in biomass sample is much lower than that of coal [35].

Both demineralization and torrefaction pre-treatments could remove sulphur (S) and reduce the nitrogen (N) content of EFB (Table 2). This result was supported by previous studies reporting that sulphur and nitrogen content in biomass were reduced after torrefaction [39] and wet torrefaction [37,39].

Table 2. Ultimate analysis, atomic ratio and calorific value of raw and pre-treated empty fruit bunch (EFB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ultimate analysis</th>
<th>Calorific value (MJ kg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O/C</td>
<td>H/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFB</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFB</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEFB 200</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEFB 220</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEFB 240</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEFB 260</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEFB 280</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEB 200</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEB 220</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEB 240</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEB 260</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDEB 280</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 compares the FTIR spectra of EFB with TEFB and TDEB at various torrefaction temperatures. The FTIR spectra showed four prominent absorption peaks at 3345 cm⁻¹ (i), 2920 cm⁻¹ and 2850 cm⁻¹ (ii), 1650 cm⁻¹ and 1710 cm⁻¹ (iii) and 1033 cm⁻¹ (iv) which are assigned to the vibration of hydroxyl group (OH), aliphatic methylene group, carbonyl groups (C=O) from aldehydes
Figure 2. FTIR spectra of a) EFB and TEFB and, b) EFB and TDEFB at various torrefaction temperatures.
and acids, and ethers, phenol and alcohol (C–O, C=C and C–C–O stretching), respectively. The spectra of EFB shows clearly a broad envelope of 3345 cm\(^{-1}\) which corresponds to the hydroxyl group. However, this peak was remarkably weakened as the torrefaction temperature was increased due to the removal of moisture through dehydration process.

The peaks at 2920 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2850 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to the hydrocarbons and carbonyl species, respectively show similar trend that represents the evolution of carbon dioxide and methane, and extent of the decomposition of hemicellulose for torrefied EFB. Apparently, the intensities of spectral peaks (ii) appearing at 1650 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1710 cm\(^{-1}\) which were assigned to alkene (C=C) and carbonyl (C=O), respectively decreased for both TEFB and TDEFB samples in comparison to EFB. This observation indicates that oxygen and hydrogen have been removed through decarboxylation and dehydration of hemicellulose during torrefaction and demineralisation of EFB. This is in agreement with similar findings reported by other researchers [39, 40].

Previous research [41] reported that torrefaction pre-treatment could reduce the acid content of bio oil due to the removal of acetyl group in hemicellulose which is the primary source of acetic acid. Torrefaction of EFB and DEFB samples above 240 °C decreased the intensity of the peak originating form acetyl group (1710 cm\(^{-1}\)), which is observation attributed to deacetylation of hemicellulose. Therefore, torrefaction temperature of 240 °C were selected for TEFB and TDEFB in bio fuel feedstock. This is due to the fact that at this temperature, the percentages of torrefaction density of TEFB and TDEFB increased in the range of 260 and 280 °C with less acetyl group content.

The Van Krevelen diagram can be used to analyse the characteristics of torrefied biomass based on the relative increase in carbon content while decreasing the relative amounts of hydrogen and oxygen [35].

With reference to Figure 3a, the Van Krevelen diagram of TEFB and EFB shows the reduction in elementary composition of oxygen and hydrogen with the increase of torrefaction temperature. Comparable tendency was also observed for TDEFB as shown in Figure 3b. The changes in elementary composition of both torrefied samples resemble sub-bituminous coal referring to the Van Krevelen plot. These results indicate that some alterations in fuel properties [28] that enhance the quality of torrefied EFB as a solid fuel feedstock [34].

3.3. The effect of sequential pre-treatment on fuel properties of EFB, TEFB and TDEFB

The mass and energy yields and energy density were calculated using equations 1, 2 and 3, respectively and the data are illustrated in Figure 4. Indeed, it is seen from Figure 4 that torrefaction pre-treatment had an important impact on the mass and energy yields and energy density. With the increase in torrefaction temperature from 200 to 280 ºC, the mass and energy yields for TDEFB declined gradually from 77.88 to 34.96 % and 80.94 to 46.15 %, respectively. The same tendency of reduction was also observed for the mass and energy yields of the TDEFB sample in the range from 86.01 to 45.89 % and from 86.49 % to 60.59 %, respectively.

However, mass and energy yields of TDEFB were slightly higher than that of TEFB. These results may be associated with the trend of volatile matter of proximate analysis as shown in Table 1 in previous section. TEFB suffered from obvious decreases of mass and energy yields specifically at the temperatures above 240 ºC. Meanwhile, TDEFB was able to retain a drastic loss of mass and energy yields prior to the pre-treatment. In addition, the energy density of TEFB and TDEFB increased in the range from 1.01 to 1.34 with the increased of the torrefaction temperature from 200 to 280 ºC. This was due to the major decomposition of hemicellulose and partial decomposition of cellulose during torrefaction resulting in an increase in calorific value, and is similar to the results reported by other researchers [41,39,32].
According to our previous work, pre-treated palm wastes such as EFB and palm kernel shell (PKS) using physical and chemical pre-treatments were identified the appropriate solid biofuel for further thermal conversions such as combustion, pyrolysis, gasification and other applications. Further, it also promote to the enrichment of energy security, decrease in CO2 emissions, sustenance to the development of renewable energy and high advantageous to the agricultural and forestry activity [44,45].

3.4. Thermogravimetric analysis

Based on the results obtained from proximate and ultimate analyses, fuel properties and FTIR data of EFB, TEFB and TDEFB, both TEFB 240 and TDEFB 240 were obtained following the pyrolysis process. Thermogravimetric analyser (TGA) was used to observe the thermal behaviour and to obtain the kinetic parameters of all samples. The weight loss (TG) and the differential weight loss (DTG) curves of EFB, TEFB 240 and TDEFB 240 are illustrated in Figure 5 and 6, respectively.

From Figure 5, the first weight loss is identified at approximately 128 °C for all samples. This corresponds to the removal of water molecules which are adsorbed on the surface of EFB and is shown by the first small peak in Figure 6. The second peak shows that the major weight loss occurs from 200 to 350 °C for EFB and from 290 to 350 °C for TEFB 240 and TDEFB 240. This could be due to the active pyrolysis of hemicellulose and cellulose portion in EFB. The cellulose and hemicelluloses contents of EFB are higher than those of TEFB 240 and TDEFB 240. Therefore, the active pyrolysis process began at a much lower temperature for EFB compared to TEFB 240 and TDEFB 240. This devolatilization stage of EFB resulted in changes via occurrence of simultaneous reactions such as depolymerization, decarboxylation, dehydration and decarboxylation [6, 42].

The TEFB 240 sample had undergone single pre-treatment of torrefaction at 240 °C whereas the TDEFB 240 sample had experienced sequential demineralization and torrefaction at 240 °C during pre-treatment stage which resulted in the cleavage of C-O bonds in hemicelluloses and decrease in cellulosic content of EFB. Therefore, the active pyrolysis processes of TEFB 240 and TDEFB 240 are contributed by the remaining lignocellulosic content of EFB that shifts the process to a maximum region. It can be seen from Figure 6 that, the peak temperature shifts from 318 to 336 °C; first and finally to 354 °C for EFB, TEFB 240 and TDEFB 240, respectively. This trend is similar to previous study [20], where the main weight loss was observed in the range from 250 to 450 °C with the corresponding DTG curve appearing from 350 to 390 °C and this showed the decomposition temperature regions represented by hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin.

Figure 4. Mass and energy yield and calorific value a) TEFB and b) TDEFB

Figure 5. The weight loss (TG) of EFB, TEFB and TDEFB at heating rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ under inert condition

Figure 6. The weight loss rate (DTG) of EFB, TEFB and TDEFB at a heating rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ under inert condition
3.5. Thermal degradation kinetic analysis

The thermogravimetric data obtained at a heating rate of 20°C min⁻¹ were used to calculate the kinetic parameters such as activation energy and pre-exponential factor, ln A for EFB, TEFB 240 and TDEFB 240 using the Coats-Redfern method of the data obtained in the temperature range from 200 to 400 °C. The plot of ln (−ln(1−α)/T²) vs. 1/T is illustrated in Figure 7. The corresponding values of activation energy (Ea), correlation coefficient (R²) and intercepts are presented in Table 3.

![Figure 7. The plot of regression line was produced from value of ln[ln(1−α)/T²] against 1/T for EFB, TEFB 240 and TDEFB 240](image)

The values of R² are above 0.96 indicating the presence of a strong correlation between dependable and dependable parameters. From Table 3, the activation energy values for pyrolysis of TDEFB 240 and TEFB 240 were determined as 137.42 and 121.16 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. These values are higher than that for pyrolysis of EFB which is 71.40 kJ mol⁻¹. The low activation energy of EFB pyrolysis is attributed to the devolatilization of hemicellulosic component [47].

The higher values of activation energy for pyrolysis of torrefied samples may be explained by the fact that pyrolysis of torrefied samples containing mainly C-C networks occurs at higher temperature which can be accompanied by a higher activation energy [20]. During torrefaction of TEFB 240 and TDEFB 240, the hemicellulosic component decomposes and results in the cleavage of C-O and C-H bonds. The removal of oxygen atoms occurs also during this stage either in the form of water or carbon monoxide.

Conclusion

In this work, the effects of sequential pre-treatment consist of demineralization and torrefaction on oil palm empty fruit bunch have been studied. This pre-treatment effectively enhances the quality of bio fuel feedstock by increasing the carbon content, eliminating the AAEMs content and reducing oxygen content. It was found that, this sequential pre-treatment improves the energy density of the selected torrefied samples by decreasing oxygen content which contributed in increasing the calorific value. This results also can also be supported by decreasing the intensity of hydroxy and carbonyl peaks that was observed in FTIR spectra of the samples. According to thermogravimetric analysis, both samples showed the increasing in C-C networks that represent the removal of hemicellulose and rich in cellulose content. These results can be supported by increasing the activation energy of TEFB 240 and TDEFB 240 using Coats-Redfern method of kinetic study. Thus, this sequential pre-treatment is suggested to be the most effective approach for upgrading the quality of solid fuel feedstock for further thermal conversion process for the future.
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