Energy Consumption Evaluation of Air Cooled Chiller With Cold Storage System Powered by Photovoltaic Modules
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A B S T R A C T
Renewable energy becomes an appealing technology used in many applications in our life. Environmentally, it reduces CO₂ emissions and enhances the sustainability of the system. This paper study the benefits of using a photovoltaic system with a thermal storage tank to power air-cooled chiller, in two different scenarios. The simulation methodology is adopted in this research to study the various scenarios of the combination of the utility, photovoltaic system, thermal storage tank, and air-cooled chiller. The scenarios are based on TRNSYS simulation software. The two scenarios investigated in this study include supplying an air-cooled chiller using a photovoltaic system integrated with the grid. While the second one is to study the photovoltaic system integrated with the grid as well as a thermal storage tank. It was found that the reduction of the consumed energy in the first scenario reduced by 81%. Also, CO₂ emissions reduced by 72%. In addition, the payback period equalled nine years and generated $4,350 in total profit along the project life cycle. The second scenario saves 75.6% of the utility energy consumption and decreases CO₂ emissions by 68%. Moreover, the payback period becomes 12.4 years with $3,202 in total profit generated.

1. Introduction

As innovations advance and the cost of fossil fuel assets develops rapidly, the expanding focus on renewable energy assets is tracked [1]. Recently, the use of solar photovoltaic (PV) systems has increased significantly due to the reduction in the cost of using this technology, in addition to the negative environmental impact of the use of conventional fossil fuels [2,3]. There are several types of PV systems, mostly grid connected, with some also serving as standalone systems. Solar PV systems can be integrated into several devices and applications [4,5]. For example, the use of solar photovoltaic energy to power refrigerators has great potential for lowering running costs while also providing high reliability. In addition, this power source has the potential to lengthen the lifespan of kerosene refrigerators, as well as diesel generators, which have been generally used in remote areas. On the other hand, thermal energy storage (TES) systems can play a remarkable role in energy savings by shifting it from on-peak load to off-peak load for cooling by use by the TES system. TES is one of the best methods energy management methods and provides several economic advantages [6-8]. Dincer [9] introduced various examples of cooling thermal energy storage (CITES) and analyzed them through from energy efficiency, environmental and economic advantages.

Many researchers have developed studies and experiments based on vapor compression refrigeration systems driven by photovoltaic cells. Deshmukh et al. [10], developed a performance evaluation of a PV system designed for a DC refrigerator. Performance testing of the PV system at no load and full load conditions were carried out to assess the system’s technical viability and average PV conversion efficiency. It was found that energy efficiency at both no load and full load conditions were about 8.5% and 11% respectively. Kalbande et al. [11], developed a photovoltaic system for a DC refrigerator were designed and developed to meet the needs of most rural areas which have no access to a national grid or with unstable and erratic electricity supply. The solar photovoltaic operated DC
vapor compression refrigeration system under test was able to maintain the temperature as specified by the World Health Organization (WHO) for the vaccine preservation (2-8°C). The average photovoltaic conversion efficiency and exergy efficiency of the refrigerator was found to be closer to about 12.05% and 14.20% on full load condition. Fatehmulla et al. [12], studied the performance of the refrigeration system with a PV system is significantly good. Cost comparison between the PV (photovoltaic energy or solar energy) and conventional energy (electrical energy) demonstrates the economic effectiveness of the energy efficient low power PV refrigeration system which, is green, clean and safe, in view of the calculations and the initial cost of our PV system including the initial electrical installation cost to run the low power refrigeration system. The thermal storage tank is a promising new technology that is used to transfer thermal power during periods when power is conserved and stored until it is needed. Rismanchi et al. [13], provides an economic cost-benefit analysis of the system, including the chiller and storage systems. The study was conducted for a range of 100–2000 tonnes of refrigeration (TR) (352–7034 kW), under two storage methods, specifically full storage and load leveling storage strategies. In Rahdar et al. [14], a vapour compression A/C system was analyzed through two strategies of hybrid systems. First, an ice thermal energy storage (ITES) system is used in the a.m. hybrid system; and thereafter a phase change material (PCM) tank is used as a full storage system in order to shift the load from on-peak to off-peak mode. This A/C system is modelled and analyzed from an energy, economic and environmental perspective in both cases.

Oró et al. [15]. This paper provides an overview of existing Spanish and European potential energy savings and CO₂ mitigation by incorporating TES systems to cold storage and transportation systems. The total energy demand for cold applications in Spain and in Europe was calculated, and after that, the energy reduction and therefore CO₂ emissions mitigation was determined to assume full implementation of the phase change material (PCM) TES system. The industrial sector shows the highest potential for benefit across all analyzed sectors. With regard to economic savings, Spain would be able to conserve between 2,309 and 11,674 GWh/year, with a potential yearly CO₂ emission reduction of between 1195 to 5,902 [1000 tCO₂/year]. Liu et al. [16] presented an innovative refrigeration system incorporating a phase change material (PCM) proposed to maintain refrigerated trucks at desired thermal conditions. In addition, the system consumes less energy and produces much lower local greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

The objective of this research is to merge studies that consider supplying the air-cooled chiller from the PV-system [9-12] with a thermal storage tank used the air-cooled chiller [13-16]. The combination of the two approaches is evaluated for application in the city of Hebron from three standpoints, namely energy consumption, economic feasibility and environmental impact.

2. Methodology

The total heat required for removal from the refrigerated space in order to bring it to the desired temperature and maintain it with refrigeration equipment is known as cooling load. The purpose of load estimation is to determine the size of refrigeration equipment required to maintain the internal design conditions during periods of maximum exterior temperatures. The cooling load is seldom affected by any one source of heat. Rather, it is an accumulation of heat that usually evolves from several different sources, some of which are more common sources of heat that impact the load on the refrigeration equipment, making equipment walls heat gain, the product heat gain, infiltration heat gain, packing heat gain, defrosting heater heat gain and fan motor heat gain [17]. In this study, only the wall heat gain, product heat gain, and infiltration heat gain are estimated. Other cooling load sources such as the fan motor, door lamp, and other components are considered to be very small loads, and are covered by using a factor of safety of 15%, which is added to the total cooling load. The total annual cooling loads are modelled and simulated using TRNSYS simulation software.

2.1. Thermal and Electrical Load Simulation

TRNSYS is a transient system simulation program. The software includes a large library of built-in components, often validated by experimental data [18-20]. TRNSYS consists of suitable programs. In this study, only two of these programs have been deployed which are TRNSYS simulation studio and Multi-zone building (TRNBuild) [21]. TYPE56 (Multi-zone building model) in TRNSYS is selected to simulate the heat conduction, convection and infiltration through surfaces of the one-meter cubic refrigeration chamber cavity, in order to use this type of refrigeration system in two separate processing program. The first process, the TRNBuild program reads in and processes a file containing the chamber description and generates two files. The second process occurs in the TRNStudio program, the two generated files will be used by the Type 56 component during a TRNSYS simulation. The TRNSYS mathematical model calculations are affected by outdoor climatic conditions, indoor design conditions and the refrigeration chamber envelop structure. The heat balance method is used by TRNSYS as a base for all calculations. For conductive heat gain at the surface on each wall, TRNSYS use Transfer Function Method (TFM) as a simplification of the arduous heat balance method [22, 23]:

\[
q_{c,i} = \sum_{k=0}^{n_i} b_i^k T_{r,i}^k - \sum_{k=0}^{n_i} C_i^k T_{d,i}^k - \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} d_i^k q_{d,i}
\]

(1)

\[
q_{r,o} = \sum_{k=0}^{n_o} a_o^k T_{r,o}^k - \sum_{k=0}^{n_o} b_o^k T_{d,o}^k - \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} d_i^o q_{d,o}
\]

(2)

where:

\( q_i \): Conduction heat flux throw the wall [kJ/h]
\( a_o, b_o, C_i, d_i \): z-transforms of the surface temperature and heat flux determined by the z-transfer function routines of literature [24].
\( k \): refers to the term in the time series, and it specified by the user within the TRNBUILD description.

\[ k_{L} = \frac{k_{L}}{n_{L}} \]
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The heat gain by convection is calculated the following equation [24]:

\[ q_c = h_{conv} \times \Delta T \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where:
- \( q_c \): Convective heat flux [kJ/h]
- \( h_{conv} \): Convexion heat transfer coefficient [W/m²·K]
- \( \Delta T \): Surface temperature difference [°C]

The Latent heat gain by the ventilation or infiltration is calculated by using [24]:

\[ q_{\text{inf}} = \rho \times Vf \times C_p \times \Delta T \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where:
- \( \rho \): Air density [1.25 kg/m³].
- \( C_p \): Specific heat of the air [1000 J/kg·°C].
- \( Vf \): Volumetric flow rate of infiltrated air [m³/s].
- \( T_o \): Outside temperature [°C].
- \( T_i \): Inside temperature [°C].

TRNStudio program is used to model the product load inside the refrigeration chamber by using equation 5.

\[ q_{\text{prod}} = \frac{m \times C_p \times \Delta T}{C.T} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where:
- \( q_{\text{prod}} \): Cooling product load in [kW].
- \( m \): Mass of the product in [kg]. (100 kg of water used in this study)
- \( C_p \): Specific heat of the product [kJ/kg·°C]. (For water: 4.18 kJ/kgK)
- \( \Delta T \): Temperature difference for the product [°C].
- \( C.T \): Cooling time [sec].

The total annual load of the refrigeration chamber is the summation of the load from the wall from TRNBuild program using type 56 and the product load using the equation type in the TRNSstudio program. Figure 1 shows the load from the wall obtained from the TRNBuild program, the product load obtained from TRNSstudio program and the total annual cooling load for the refrigeration chamber.

In this study, a half refrigeration ton (1.75 kW) air-cooled chiller selected for covering the cooling load demand. This chiller produced by ChillX company with the model (CXF050DRS) [25]. The chiller used ethylene glycol-water mixture in order to decrease the mixture freezing point under -10°C, when the glycol percentage equal to 40% (by the volume) in the water mixture the freezing point temperature equal to -23.8 and the specific heat for the mixture at this point equal to 3.4 kJ/kgK [26]. Type 655 used to model a vavour compression air cooled chiller. This type requires input parameters, specifically the inlet fluid (water ethylene glycol) temperature, inlet fluid flow rate, setpoint temperature, ambient temperature, and fluid specific heat in order to calculate the annual thermal cooling load demand. Inlet fluid temperature is taken zero °C, inlet fluid flow rate calculated using equation 6, setpoint temperature is taken -5 °C, ambient temperature taken from the weather data file for Hebron city and the specific heat of the fluid equal to 3.4 kJ/kgK.

Figure 1. Walls load, product load and total annual cooling load for the refrigeration chamber.

\[ m' = \frac{Q_{\text{total}}}{C_p \times \Delta T} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where:
- \( Q_{\text{total}} \): Thermal cooling load for the chamber [W].
- \( m' \): Mass flow rate [kg/sec].
- \( C_p \): Specific heat of the water ethylene glycol [kJ/kg·°C].
- \( \Delta T \): Temperature deference for inlet and outlet fluid [°C].

In order to calculate the total electrical power required to cover the total thermal cooling load, type 655 requires sample data for the COP of the utilized chiller. This data is entered into the simulation model (type655) using the text file from the selected chiller data sheet [27]. Type655 then calculates the electrical power using equation 7.

\[ P_{\text{elec}} = \frac{Q_{\text{total}}}{COP} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where:
- \( Q_{\text{total}} \): Thermal Cooling load for the chamber [W].
- \( P_{\text{elec}} \): Electrical Power [W].
- \( COP \): Coefficient of performance for the chiller.

Figure 2 illustrates the total thermal power for the refrigeration chamber, the total electrical power needed for the chiller in order to cover the thermal power and the COP values. As shown in figure 2 the maximum electrical power value equal to 835 W in July, where the thermal power reaches its maximum value. The total annual electrical energy is calculated by integrating the electrical power profile (red profile) this value equal to 2,107 kWh/year.

2.2. System Load Coverage Scenarios

In order to operate the air-cooled chiller that used to cover the total annual cooling load of the refrigeration chamber, two scenarios are used to achieve this goal. In the first scenario, only PV system used to operate the air-cooled chiller during on-peak periods, in this case, the system contains PV modules, on grid inverter and air-cooled chiller as shown in figure 3.
Four Q CELLS (325 Wp) solar PV modules are connected in series which converts solar radiation into electric power as direct current (DC). The 2kW EVERSOL inverter with 97% efficiency converts DC into alternating current (AC) which is needed to drive the chiller compressor. The chiller converts the AC power to the required thermal cooling power. The air-cooled chiller is supplied as a back-up with an electric AC power from the grid, when there is not enough DC power from the PV-array, especially at night, in the evening and morning times of the day when there is not enough solar radiation to drive the chiller. Type 194b (PV-inverter) is used to model the PV array and the inverter from the two scenarios in this study, the model is based on the calculation method presented by De Soto et al. [28]. Type 194b requires many input parameters from Hebron, specifically data files such as the total radiation on tilt angle, beam radiation, sky diffuse radiation, ground reflected diffuse radiation, the slope of the surface, wind speed and ambient temperature. The model of the electrical characteristics from the PV module data sheet, such as short circuit current and open circuit voltage at Standard Test Condition (STC), module voltage and current at the maximum power point (MPPT), temperature coefficient at the short circuit current, as well as the open circuit voltage, the number of cells in series, the number of module in series, the number of module in parallel, the normal operating conditions test (NOCT) and the module area [29]. Also, the inverter parameters efficiency and power are used in type 194b. By running the simulation program for the first scenario, the output electrical power obtained from the PV array along the year shown in figure 4.

The obtained electrical power values moved to excel sheet file in order to compare between the chiller power and the power produced by the array. Figure 5 to figure 8 illustrate the weekly distribution of electrical powers of the system in winter, spring, summer and in autumn for the chiller and the PV array.
The power that direct used from PV array in order to run the chiller in the on-peak hours is represented in figure 9 in the blue profile, this profile integrated using excel file to calculate the total annual power that direct used from the PV array, which equals to 950.5 kWh/year, this value represents 45% of the total annual power (2107 kWh/year) that needed to run the chiller along the year as illustrated in figure 9.

In this scenario the excess power from PV array is supplied to the grid, getting an equal value for it during night hours - in case of electricity consumption for the chiller is greater than the PV system production in one month - based on Power Authority laws in Palestine, this value equals to 752 kWh/year which represents 36% of the total annual power needed. Based on the first scenario results, 81% of the total annual electrical power required to run the chiller was generated. Figure 10 depicts the electrical power consumed by the chiller and the power produced by the PV-system, the blue profile represents the total electrical power needed to run the chiller, the purple profile represents the total electrical power produced by the PV-system, the red profile represents the electrical power direct used from the PV array at on-peak periods and the green profile represent electrical power that transferred to the grid and used at the off-peak periods.

In the second scenario PV system used in order to run the air-cooled chiller during on-peak periods, also the thermal storage tank used in order to shift the excess power from on-peak periods to off-peak periods, this case contains the same components of the system in the previous scenario, in addition to the thermal storage tank as shown in figure 11.

The working fluid used in the storage system is the same fluid that used for the chiller, specifically a glycol-water mixture (40% glycol) with 3.4 kJ/kgK specific heat and 1110 kg/m$^3$ density [24]. The volume of the thermal storage tank is designed according to the maximum excess of thermal power produced by the PV in a day, this is equal to 8000 Wh/day in June. By using equation 8, the tank volume equals 1.5 m$^3$ (1500 L).

$$V = \frac{m}{\rho}$$  

where:
- $V$: Volume of the tank [m$^3$].
- $m$: Fluid mass [kg].
- $\rho$: Fluid density [kg/m$^3$].

The fluid mass equals to 1745.45 kg calculated using maximum excess the thermal power by using equation 9.

$$m = \frac{Q_{\text{excess}}}{Cp \times \Delta T}$$  

where:
- $m$: Fluid mass [kg].
\(C_p\): Fluid specific heat [kJ/kgK], 
\(\Delta T\): Fluid temperature difference [°C].

The selected tank is (HF1500) produced by Reflex, a Germany company [30]. The heat loss of this tank is equal to 5.1 kWh/24h (212 Wh) in the worst case of heat loss.

In this scenario the excess electrical power produced by the PV array in the first scenario used to run the chiller in TRANStudio, then chiller produced thermal power that stores in the thermal storage tank. The storage tank supplies this power to the refrigeration chamber during the night hours. The output thermal load from the thermal tank depending on its heat losses which equal 212 Wh, the output thermal load calculated using Excel sheet file. Figure 12 shows the thermal tank input and output thermal power. The thermal losses in storage tank equal to 310.6 kWh/year.

![Figure 12. Thermal tank input and output power.](image)

Figure 12 to Figure 16 illustrates the weekly energy distribution during the winter, spring, summer and autumn for the total thermal power produced by the PV array, thermal power direct used from the PV array and thermal power used from the tank in order to cover the chiller thermal load.

![Figure 13. Second scenario thermal powers in winter week.](image)

As shown in figures 6.13 to 6.16, the purple profile represents the total thermal power produced by the PV, the red profile represents the thermal power direct used from the PV array at on-peak periods, the green profile represents thermal power obtained from storage tank at off-peak periods and the blue profile represents the chiller thermal power. In winter, 70% of the chiller load was covered, 38% direct from the PV array and 32% from the storage.
In spring, 89% of the chiller load was covered, 49% direct from PV array and 40% from the storage tank. In summer 59% of the chiller load was covered, 42% direct from PV array and 17% from the storage tank. Finally, during the autumn, 74% of the chiller load was covered, 40% direct from PV array and 34% from the storage tank. In this scenario, the excess power from PV array is moved to the thermal storage tank in order to use it at night hours, as shown in figure 17 the total needed load expressed using blue profile, and the total thermal power that covered using PV and storage tank expressed in a red profile. The red profile integrated using excel file to calculate the total annual thermal power that direct used from the PV array and storage tank, which equal to 3,474 kWh/year, this value represent 75.6% (45% PV and 30.6% storage tank) of the total annual thermal power (4,595.4 kWh/year) that needed to run the chiller along the year as illustrated in figure 17.

According to the second scenario results, the value of the electrical power that reduced in this scenario equal to 1,593 kWh/year, this power obtained by using PV array and storage tank which represent 75.6% of the total electrical power needed to run the chiller (2,107 kWh/year).

2.3. Economic Evaluation
The economic feasibility of investments in PV systems with thermal storage tanks have been conducted in this study for the two scenarios. The selected indicators for this kind of assessment are the payback period (PBP) and total profit generated. On the other hand, the environmental advantage in comparison to traditional sources of energy is evaluated through the reduction of carbon dioxide emissions. The project life period is taken as 25 years according to the PV module performance guarantee. Payback periods describe the length of time required to recover the initial cost of system implementation, while the total profit is the number of dollars that decreased after the payback period, it can be calculated by knowing the annual revenue in the years after the payback period. This values can be estimated by calculating the total capital cost and the total annual cost for the two scenarios using the following equations [31-34].

\[
CRF (i\%, n \text{ year}) = \frac{i(i+1)^n}{(i+1)^n - 1} \quad (10)
\]

\[
AC = IC \times CRF \quad (11)
\]

\[
TAC = AC + OC + MC \quad (12)
\]

\[
TCC = \frac{TAC}{CRF} \quad (13)
\]

\[
Total \ Annual \ Saving = E_{annual} \times T_r \quad (14)
\]

\[
Payback\ Time \ (PT) = \frac{Total \ Capital \ Cost}{Annual \ Saving} \quad (15)
\]

\[
Total \ Profit = Annual \ Revenue \times (n - PT) \quad (16)
\]

where:
- \(AC\): Annual Cost
- \(IC\): Initial Cost
- \(MC\): Maintenance Cost
- \(OC\): Operation Cost
- \(TAC\): Total Amount Cost
- \(TCC\): Total Capital Cost
- \(CRF\): The Constant Rate Factor is the default quantity \(CRF = 0.071\) CRF/year.
- \(n\): Project life Period (25 years).
- \(i\): Loan interest took (5\%), in this study represents the annual depreciation of the system during the life of the project.
- \(T_r\): Tariff price in Hebron City (0.58 NIS [35]).
- \(E_{annual}\): Total electrical energy obtained using each scenario.

\(E_{annual}\) equal 1,702.5 kWh/year for the first scenario (81% of the chiller electrical energy) and 1,593 kWh/year for the second scenario (75.6% of the chiller electrical energy). The initial cost contains the total cost that needed to operate the system at the beginning of the project life period such as PV modules cost (205 $/module), inverter cost (US$ 752), storage tank cost (US$ 700) and system installation cost. Installation cost found the range from US$ 0.064-US$ 0.1/Wp [31] (in this study taken 0.1), so it is equal US$ 130 for the first and second scenario (4 modules x 325 Wp) Table 1 shows the required initial cost of both scenarios.

Table 1: Scenario initial costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Cost</th>
<th>First Scenario</th>
<th>Second Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modules Cost ($)</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverter Cost ($)</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage Tank Cost ($)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation Cost ($)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Initial Cost ($)</td>
<td>1,702</td>
<td>2,402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annual cost contains the operation and maintenance cost which represent the annual money that needed to operate and maintain the suggested system (including PVs, inverter and storage tank), according to the operating cost 1.5% [36] added to the annual cost that calculated using equation 11, and US$ 0.04/Wp [37] for system maintenance (US$ 52 for the first and second scenarios). Then the total annual cost calculated using equation 12, the payback period and total profit for the two scenarios as shown in table 2.
As illustrated in Table 2, the payback periods equal to 9 and 12.4 for the first and second scenarios respectively. Furthermore, the total profit values for the two scenarios equal to US$ 4,350 and US$ 3,202 respectively. According to the economic assessment for the two scenarios, the most economical scenario in this study is the first one, an on-grid PV system without a thermal storage tank.

2.4. Environmental Evaluation

Using renewable energy sources allows for the reduction of environmental pollution. In order to evaluate the environmental advantages in this study, a comparison between CO₂ emissions released by the study scenarios and the ones released by using grid electricity produced by fossil fuels. The quantified emissions released by a PV system equal to 81 gCO₂/kWh, of which 93.7% are caused by PV panel manufacture [38]. On the other hand, fossil sources emissions were quantified in 771 gCO₂/kWh [39]. In this research, running the chiller using grid electricity only produced 1,624.5 kgCO₂/year. The first scenario used 1702.5 kWh/year from the PV-system and 404.5 kWh/year from grid electricity, so the CO₂ emissions equal to 450 kgCO₂/year. The second scenario used 1,593 kWh/year from the PV system and 514 kWh/year from the grid, so the CO₂ emissions equal to 253.5 kg CO₂/year.

Based on the CO₂ emissions for the system scenarios shown in figure 18. The CO₂ emissions reduced by 72% for the first scenario compared with supplying the chiller from the grid only. In the same manner, the amount of CO₂ reduction for the second scenario equals 68%. According to these results, the first scenario has the lowest impact on the environment.

3. Conclusions

This investigation of the utilization of PV-systems with thermal storage tanks to power air-cooled chillers has yielded very effective and efficient results in reducing electrical consumption from this utility, as well as an alternative energy source which provides a reduction in CO₂ emissions and enhances the payback period and the system profit. Powering the chiller with the grid and PV-system saves 81% of the electrical power, compared with supplying it from the grid only. This result is a payback period of 9 years and a profit of US$ 4,350 generated by the system, in addition to reducing CO₂ emissions by 72%. By adopting thermal storage tank of 1.5 m³ with the utility and PV-system the electrical consumption decreased by 75.6% and CO₂ emissions by 68%, also the payback period becomes 12.4 years, and the total profit equal to US$ 3,202. According to the above listed economic and environmental examinations, the on-grid system is the most economical scenario. On the other hand, the first scenario has the lowest impact on the environment. The main findings of this study may be applied to larger systems, as well as systems in remote areas, to reduce electricity cost and reduce the amount of CO₂ emissions. Furthermore, the usage of thermal storage units enable for a reduction in scheduled maintenance required by PV-systems with electrical batteries.
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