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A B S T R A C T
The paper deals with hardware emulation of bio-inspired devices and nonlinear dynamic processes of complex nature by means of mixed-mode analog-digital emulators. The discretized state model of the emulated system serves for real-time calculation of dependent quantities. In contrast to input-output emulation known in control systems, the proposed approach emulates the ports of an electrical multiport network. The paper discusses the stability of the emulation process and the possibility of partitioning the system into two parts, one being emulated digitally and the other via an analog circuitry. The procedure is illustrated on the example of emulating the Fitzhugh-Nagumo model of neuron and the model of amoeba adaptation. The paper is an extension of our paper presented at the NCGAS 2018 conference in Valletta, Malta. The extended version deals newly with the choice of the integration method and provides a deeper stability analysis and more examples of emulation of biological models.

1. Introduction

Emulation consists in replacing a part of the electrical system with another system that has similar characteristics but is more convenient to implement. This technique has become popular in the field of electronic circuits with the (re)introduction of mem elements [1, 2]. For example, the memristors and other promising nanodevices for digital computational systems, massively parallel analog computations or elegant modeling of the neuron cells, are still in the experimental phase and are not available as off-the-shelf components. Emulation allows performing circuit experiments with equivalents of these novel elements and is also useful for demonstration and educational purposes [3].

The first emulators were proposed as analog circuits. Single-purpose emulators such as [4–6] are simple and elegant, but their disadvantage is the inability to change easily their characteristics. Emulators of memristive, memcapacitive and meminductive devices based on mutators provide large universality because they transform a nonlinear resistor, which can be easily modified, to the respective constitution relation of the mem element [7–8].

Another problem is the emulation of blocks with floating ports, which is difficult for purely analog emulators. Several two-terminal floating emulators were proposed [5, 9, 10]. However, all the emulators are based on grounded devices and are “floating” only in the case of neglecting parasitic parameters. In [11], a genuinely floating memcapacitor was proposed on the principle of switched capacitors. The first implementation of a floating resistive port using a mixed-mode system was proposed in [12] with the use of a digital potentiometer, whose resistance was controlled by a microcontroller by means of pre-programmed algorithms.

On the other hand, there are dynamic systems that cannot be emulated via the above single-purpose emulators. For example, the well-known Hodgkin-Huxley model of the cell membranes in a neuron is represented by a set of nonlinear differential equations [13]. The equivalent electrical model is a two-terminal device, consisting of a linear capacitor, two nonlinear memristive devices, and biasing sources [14]. Usually, these models contain large-value inductors and capacitors, which are not useful for practical laboratory experiments.

The demand for emulating general dynamic systems resulted in developing mixed-mode analog-digital emulators [15, 16]. They consist of a central digital unit, which controls one or more floating analog ports of three possible types: controlled voltage source, controlled current source, and digital potentiometer [17]. The independent port currents and voltages are digitally processed.
according to the mathematical model of the emulated device, and the computed dependent quantities are used for controlling the analog ports. A great advantage of such an approach is the ability to change easily the emulated system by means of changing the software and the possibility of designing truly floating ports with the use of digital isolators without compromising the precision.

The paper summarizes our experience of the emulation of two complex nonlinear dynamic systems via the mixed-mode approach [15]. The problem of discretization analog system and its (in)stability, which occurs when connecting a digital emulator to an analog circuitry, is analyzed. The paper is an extension of our paper [1] presented at the NGCAS 2018 conference in Valletta, Malta. The extended version deals newly with the choice of the integration method and provides a deeper stability analysis and more examples of emulation of biological models.

2. Emulation Principle

2.1. Basic Setup

In general, the emulator represents a mixed-mode analog-digital system with \( m \) floating electrical ports. For the purpose of emulation, let us constrain ourselves to such systems where each port has an independent input quantity (voltage or current) and the other quantity (current or voltage) is computed as a response.

Thus, the emulator consists of a digital core, implemented in a microcontroller (MCU) or FPGA, and ports in the form of controlled current or voltage sources, Figure 1. Using A/D converters with a supporting circuitry, the core measures independent quantities, computes the response, and sets the dependent quantities using D/A converters, followed by reconstruction filters and corresponding controlled sources.

![Multiport emulator shown with controlled current source at Port 1 and controlled voltage source at Port \( m \).](image)

The emulated system is generally a nonlinear discrete-time system of the \( n \)-th order with \( m \) inputs and \( m \) outputs, whose mathematical representation can be written as

\[
\mathbf{x}(k+1) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(k), \mathbf{u}(k)),
\]

\[
\mathbf{y}(k) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}(k), \mathbf{u}(k)),
\]

where \( \mathbf{x}(k) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the state vector, \( \mathbf{u}(k) \in \mathbb{R}^m \) are the samples of independent (input) quantities, and \( \mathbf{y}(k) \in \mathbb{R}^m \) are the dependent (output) quantities. The continuous functions \( \mathbf{f} : (\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \mathbf{g} : (\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathbb{R}^m \) are generally nonlinear.

Figure 2 shows the time characteristics of a discrete-time system (1), (2) implemented in a real logical device and connected to a continuous-time circuit. The inputs \( \mathbf{u}(k) \) are sampled with a period \( T \) and the outputs \( \mathbf{y}(k) \) are available after the computation and transfer delay \( t_c < T \). The analog circuit the emulator is connected to, which formally includes the anti-aliasing and reconstruction filters, responds to the new outputs \( \mathbf{y}(k) \), and the response is sampled as the next input \( \mathbf{u}(k+1) \).

![Timing of the emulation process (DT – discrete-time emulator, CT – continuous-time analog circuit).](image)

2.2. Stability of Emulation

As shown in Figure 2, connecting the emulator to an application circuit creates a feedback system, which combines discrete-time and continuous-time parts. Let us consider the one-port case \((m = 1)\). The stability of some fixed point of the system (1), (2) can be examined by linearizing the state description

\[
\mathbf{x}(k+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{Bu}(k),
\]

\[
\mathbf{y}(k) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{D}u(k),
\]

where \( \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, \mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}, \) and \( \mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R} \) are real matrices.

Equations (3), (4) represent a single-input single-output linear system, which can be characterized by the \( z \)-domain input-output transfer function [18]

\[
H(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{U(z)} = \frac{\text{adj}[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}]B + \mathbf{D}}{\text{det}[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}]},
\]

where “\( \text{adj} \)” denotes the adjoint matrix, \( \mathbf{I} \) is the unity matrix, and \( U(z) \) and \( Y(z) \) are the \( z \)-transforms of the sequences \( u(k) \) and \( y(k) \).

The D/A converter generates a continuous-time signal \( y(k) \rightarrow y(t) \), which stimulates the analog circuit, and the circuit response is sampled by the D/A converter as \( u(t) \rightarrow u(k) \). The continuous-time circuit, which also includes the anti-aliasing and reconstruction filters, can be linearized around an operating point, which corresponds to the fixed point of the discrete system. The input-output small-signal transfer function will be

\[
G_C(s) = \frac{U(s)}{Y(s)},
\]

where \( U(s) \) and \( Y(s) \) are the Laplace transforms of the continuous-time signals \( u(t) \) and \( y(t) \).

Considering the signal conversion \( y(k) \rightarrow y(t) \rightarrow G_C \rightarrow u(t) \rightarrow u(k) \), the properties of the analog circuit can also be characterized in the discrete domain by means of the pulse transfer function [18]. For the zero-order hold (ZOH) D/A converter the transfer function will be
where \( \mathcal{Z}\{\cdot\} \) denotes the z-transform of the sampled impulse response of the continuous-time transfer function, \((1-e^{j\omega T_s})/s\) is the transfer function of ZOH, and \(e^{-z\tau_s}\) represents the processing delay \(\tau_s\), which was formally added to the response of the analog circuitry. Note that (7) neglects the quantization introduced by real D/A and A/D converters.

Then the characteristic closed-loop equation will be

\[ H(z)G(z) = 1. \tag{8} \]

The roots of (8) determine the stability of the emulation process.

The existence of two types of port (controlled current or voltage source, see Figure 1) is also dictated by the requirement of emulation stability. Let us consider a simple emulation of the resistor \(R_e\) by a controlled current source. Then the independent quantity will be the voltage \((u = v)\) and the dependent quantity will be the current \((i = i)\). The emulator transfer function (5) will be simply

\[ H(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{U(z)} = \frac{I(z)}{V(z)} = \frac{1}{R_E}, \tag{9} \]

i.e. \(i(k) = v(k)/R_E\).

Let the emulator port be loaded with a physical resistor \(R\). Considering a first-order reconstruction filter, but no anti-aliasing filter and no processing delay the small-signal transfer function (6) will be

\[ G_c(s) = -\frac{1}{1+s\tau_r}, \tag{10} \]

where \(\tau_r\) is the time constant of the reconstruction filter. The positive current \(i\) flows into the positive terminal of the emulator port, i.e. (10) has the negative sign.

The pulse transfer function (7) will be

\[ G(z) = \mathcal{Z}\left\{\frac{1-e^{-T_s}}{s}G_c(s)e^{-z\tau_s}\right\} = R\frac{1-c}{z-c}, \tag{11} \]

where \(c = \exp(-Tt_s)\).

Substituting (9) and (11) into the characteristic equation (8) leads to

\[ \frac{R}{R_E}\frac{1-c}{z-c} = 1. \tag{12} \]

Considering the stability condition \(|z| < 1\) for the root of (12) and with respect to the typical choice \(\tau_r \approx T\), which gives \(0 < c < 1\), we obtain the condition

\[ R < R_E \frac{1+c}{1-c}. \tag{13} \]

for stable operation of the emulating process. Thus, if the Thévenin-equivalent resistance of the analog circuitry connected to the emulator port is higher than the limit (13), the system will oscillate, although the emulated device is a positive-value resistor. A more detailed analysis for other types of devices can be found in [19].

The example underlines the necessity for an emulation-stability analysis. A circuit that would be perfectly stable if realized from physical components may become unstable if some parts are emulated by a discrete-time system.

3. Demonstrations

3.1. Emulator Hardware

The emulator used for demonstrations is a two-port modification [17] of our emulator [15]. The system consists of the main board STM NUCLEO-F722ZE with a 32-bit MCU ARM Cortex-M7 with a single-precision floating-point unit connected to PC via USB. An add-on card implements two isolated ports, each with 16-bit A/D and D/A converters. The ports are fully floating, which is achieved by the use of integrated DC-DC converters and digital SPI isolators for converter control. The total parasitic capacitance of the floating part to the ground is about 11 pF.

A pluggable controlled voltage or current source can be connected to the ports as shown in Figure 3. The operating area of the four-quadrant voltage and current modules is ±3 V and ±3 mA. The emulator can easily achieve a sampling rate of 100 kHz. Depending on the software, it can emulate one or two independent floating devices or a general two-port network.

3.2. FitzHugh-Nagumo Model

The FitzHugh-Nagumo (FHN) model of neuronal excitability [20] is a simplification of the well-known Hodgkin-Huxley model [13]. The model represents the prototype of an excitable system.

When the input quantity exceeds some certain threshold, the system will generate a pulse. The two-dimensional FHN model is given in its normalized form as
\[
\frac{dv}{d\tau} = v - \frac{v^3}{3} - w + j, \tag{14}
\]
\[
\frac{dw}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\sigma}(v + a - bw), \tag{15}
\]

where \( v \) represents the normalized membrane potential, \( w \) is an auxiliary variable, \( j \) is a stimulus current, and \( \tau \) is the model time. The model dynamics is determined by a set of three parameters with the usual values \( a = 0.7, b = 0.8, \) and \( \sigma = 12.5 \) [21].

The system (14), (15) can be represented by an equivalent electrical circuit shown in Figure 4 with a nonlinearity similar to that of the tunnel diode, which was proposed by Nagumo [20]. Let us consider the following mapping of FHN model quantities to the physical circuit in Figure 4:

\[
v = \kappa_v v, \quad i = \kappa_i j, \quad i_L = \kappa_w w, \quad t = \kappa_i \tau. \tag{16}
\]

The transformation coefficients were chosen such that the variable \( v \) will represent voltage in volts \( (\kappa_v = 1 \text{ V}) \), \( j \) and \( w \) will be currents in milliamps \( (\kappa_i = \kappa_w = 1 \text{ mA}) \), and one unit of model time \( \tau \) will correspond to one millisecond of the physical time \( (\kappa_i = 1 \text{ ms}) \), i.e., the dynamics will be speeded up a thousand times to get a convenient duration of generated pulses.

Considering (16), the parameters of the circuit elements will be

\[
C = \frac{\kappa_i \kappa_L}{\kappa_v}, \quad R = \frac{b \kappa_v}{\kappa_w}, \quad L = \frac{\kappa_i \kappa_v \sigma}{\kappa_w}, \quad V_E = a \kappa_v. \tag{17}
\]

For the chosen transformation coefficients we have \( C = 1 \mu F, \) \( L = 12.5 \text{ H}, \) \( R = 800 \Omega, \) and \( V_E = 0.7 \text{ V} \). The \( i-v \) characteristic \( i_T(v) \) of the “tunnel diode” will be

\[
i_T(v) = \kappa_i \left( \frac{(v/\kappa_v)^3}{3} - v/\kappa_v \right), \tag{18}
\]

which corresponds to the first two terms of RHS of (9).

1) Direct Digital Emulation

Equations (14), (15) represent a dynamical system with the input current \( j \) and output potential \( v \). In accordance with the electrical model in Figure 4 the system can be emulated by a controlled voltage source. The output voltage \( v(k) \) will be computed from the samples \( j(k) \) of the measured current.

The real-time operation of the emulator requires the use of explicit integration methods with low numerical complexity [22]. Let us consider an ordinary differential equation of the \( n \)-th order in the form

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x,u), \tag{19}
\]

where \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( u \) is a scalar input. Then the explicit multistep linear integration scheme can be formulated as

\[
x(k + 1) = x(k) + h \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \gamma_i f(x(k-i), u(k-i)), \tag{20}
\]

where \( r \) is the order of the method, \( h \) is the integration step, and \( \gamma_i \) are coefficients. For \( r = 1 \) we get the classical forward Euler method with \( \gamma_1 = 1 \), and for \( r = 2 \) the Adams-Bashforth method of the \( 2^{\text{nd}} \) order (AB2) with \( \gamma = \{3/2, -1/2\} \) [22].

The practical implementation of the multistep scheme (20) has a relative low numerical complexity as it requires just storing \( r-1 \) past values \( f(k-i) := f(x(k-i), u(k-i)) \).

The computation of each step starts with the evaluation of RHS of (14), (15) for current values of the quantities

\[
f(k) = \frac{v(k) - v(k)^3/3 - w(k) + j(k)}{(v(k) + a - bw(k))/\sigma}. \tag{21}
\]

In the case of the Euler method the next step is

\[
\frac{v(k+1)}{w(k+1)} = \frac{v(k)}{w(k)} + h f(k) \tag{22}
\]

and for AB2 we have

\[
\frac{v(k+1)}{w(k+1)} = \frac{v(k)}{w(k)} + \frac{h}{2} \left[3f(k) - f(k-1)\right]. \tag{23}
\]

where \( h = T/\kappa_i \). The coefficient \( \kappa_i = 1 \text{ ms} \) scales the time axis so that the time unit in the original system (14), (15) corresponds to one millisecond in (22) and (23).

The emulator was configured as a current-controlled voltage source using the module “\( V \)”, where the output quantity is the transformed state variable \( v(k) = v(k)/\kappa_v \) and the control quantity is \( j(k) = i(k)/\kappa_i \). The sampling rate was set to 100 kHz.

Figure 5 shows the results of an experiment where the digital FHN model was “excited” by short pulses with an amplitude of 3 V, a width of 8 ms, and a period of 100 ms applied through a 10 k\( \Omega \) resistor \( R_d \). It can be seen that each pulse triggers a characteristic excursion, after which the system relaxes back to the equilibrium state.

The discretization and emulation of the continuous-time system bring two problems with the stability: the stability of the
integration method itself and the stability of the feedback emulation process as introduced in Section 2.2.

Let us start with the forward Euler method. The equilibrium corresponds to a fixed point of the discretized system (22), because \( f_s = 0 \) and thus \( v(k+1) = v(k) \), \( w(k+1) = w(k) \). The structure of the system (22) corresponds to (1), (2) and its linearization at the fixed point \((\tilde{v}, \tilde{w})\) leads to the following matrices (3) and (4):

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + h(1-\tilde{v}^2) & -h \\
\frac{h}{\sigma} & 1 - h b/\sigma
\end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix}
h \\
0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad D = 0.
\]

Using (5), the emulator transfer function is

\[
H(z) = \frac{V(z)}{I(z)} = \frac{\kappa_v}{\kappa_i} \frac{zh\sigma - h(\sigma - bh) + (bh - \sigma)h(\tilde{v}^2 - 1) + h^2}{z^2 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + z^{-1}}
\]

The simulator uses a 1\(^{st}\)-order reconstruction filter with the time constant \( \tau_r \) and no anti-aliasing filter. The Laplace-domain transfer function between the output of the D/A converter and the input of the A/D converter corresponding to the application schematics in Figure 5 will be

\[
G_C(s) = \frac{I(s)}{V(s)} = -\frac{1}{R_d} \frac{1}{1+s\tau_r},
\]

where the negative sign stems from the chosen orientation of \( v \) and \( i \).

Using the standard \( s\)- and \( z\)-transforms, the pulse transfer function can be easily obtained as [23]

\[
G(z) = Z\left\{ \frac{1-e^{-Ts}}{s} G_C(s)e^{-Ts} \right\} = \frac{1}{R_d} \frac{(1-cd)z^{-1} + (cd-1)z^{-2}}{1-cz^{-1}},
\]

where \( c = \exp(-T/\tau_r) \) and \( d = \exp(t/\tau_r) \).

The time constant of the reconstruction filter was \( \tau_r = T = 10\mu s \), which smoothed reasonably its staircase output, and the processing delay was \( \tau_s = 3\mu s \). Then the roots of the characteristic equation (8) are as follows:

\[
\lambda_{1,2} = 0.9978 \pm j0.002289, \quad \lambda_3 = 0.3692, \quad \lambda_4 = -3.4988 \times 10^4,
\]

which indicates a stable operation. It can be shown that the doublet \( \lambda_{1,2} \) corresponds to the poles of (28) because the FHN model is driven by a high-resistance source, which behaves like a stimulation by a current source (see Figure 5). The doublet is close to the unity circle, reflecting the fact that the sampling rate is orders of magnitudes faster than the modeled dynamics, i.e. the update in each step is relatively low \( v(k+1) \approx v(k) \). The roots \( \lambda_3 \) and \( \lambda_4 \) are predominantly influenced by the chosen time constant of the
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reconstruction filter and MCU processing delay, and represent fast-decaying artifacts of the emulsion process.

To compute the z-domain transfer function (5) for higher-order integration methods, the difference equation (20) should be transformed to an equation of the 1st order.

In the case of the Adams-Bashforth method of the 2nd order the scheme (23) can be transformed to

\[
\frac{v(k+1)}{w(k+1)} = \frac{v(k)}{w(k)} + \frac{h}{2} \left( 3f(k) - \tilde{f}(k) \right),
\]

\[
\tilde{f}(k+1) = f(k),
\]

where \( \tilde{f}(k) \) is the vector of RHS of (14) and (15) delayed by one period. Then the linearization of (31) and (32) leads to

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + \frac{3h}{2} (1 - \bar{\sigma}^2) & -\frac{3h}{2} & -\frac{h}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{3h}{2\sigma} & 1 - \frac{3hb}{2\sigma} & 0 & -\frac{h}{2} \\
1 - \bar{\sigma}^2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1/\sigma & -b/\sigma & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix}
3h/2 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad D = 0.
\]

Repeating the same procedure as for the Euler method, we obtain the roots:

\[\lambda_1,2 = 0.9978 \pm j \ 0.003594,\]

\[\lambda_3 = 0.3681, \lambda_4 = -0.0136, \lambda_5 = 0.0130, \lambda_6 = -3.0454 \times 10^{-4}.\]

The doublet characterizes the emulated system dynamics and the other roots represent the artifacts of the discretization. Also in this case, the emulation is stable.

2) Hybrid Circuit

In the hybrid approach the emulator is used to implement some parts of the model, while the rest of the model can be realized using standard electronic components as in Figure 4. In the case of the FHN equivalent circuit the emulator implements just the “tunnel diode”. The cubic nonlinearity (18) was emulated as a voltage-controlled current source using the module “I”.

Figure 7 shows the experiment setup on a breadboard and the response of the model for the same conditions as in Figure 5. The parameter \( L \) was changed to 16.2 H in this experiment to match the inductance of the available off-the-shelf choke.

Figure 8 compares the measured pulses with a PSpice simulation, the latter being regarded as a reference solution. All three waveforms overlap.

3.3. Model of Amoeba Adaptation

The amoeba can adapt to periodic environmental changes. An electrical model of the process was presented in [24], see Figure 9. The environmental conditions (temperature and humidity) are represented by a single quantity – the voltage \( v(t) \), which is applied to the circuit. The response is the change in amoeba movement velocity represented by the voltage \( v_M(t) \).

The memristor in Figure 9 is a voltage-controlled memristor with a threshold whose memristance \( R_M \) is governed by the following state equation [24]

\[
\frac{dR_M}{dt} = f_A(v_M)f_W(R_M, v_M),
\]

where \( v_M \) is the voltage across memristor (\( v_M = v_C \) here). The memristor activation function

\[
f_A(v_M) = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} (v_M + V_T) - v_M (v_M + V_T) - \beta v_M
\]

is shown in Figure 9(b). The parameter \( \alpha \) is the slope of the inner segment and \( \beta \) is the slope of outer segments.

The window function

\[
f_W(R_M, v_M) = \theta(v_M) \theta(R_M - R_I) + \theta(-v_M) \theta(R_S - R_M)
\]

Figure 7: Hybrid circuit setup and response (orange) to excitation pulses (red).
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Figure 8: Comparison of the measured and simulated responses for \( L = 16.2 \) H (all waveforms overlap).
confining the memristance between the boundary values $R_1$ and $R_2$, and $\theta$ is the Heaviside step function.

$$\frac{di}{dt} = \frac{1}{\kappa_i L_A} (-R_A i + v - v_c),$$

$$\frac{dv_c}{dt} = \frac{1}{\kappa_i C_A} (-v_c + i),$$

$$\frac{dR_M}{dt} = \frac{1}{\kappa_i} f_A(v_c) f_W(R_M, v_c),$$

where the parameters were identified as [24]: $R_A = 0.1 \, \Omega$, $L_A = 2 \, \text{H}$, $C_A = 1 \, \text{F}$, $R_1 = 3 \, \Omega$, $R_2 = 20 \, \Omega$, $\alpha = 0.1 \, \Omega/\text{V}s$, $\beta = 100 \, \Omega/\text{V}s$, $V_F = 2.5 \, \text{V}$. The amoeba adaptation occurs on the time scale of $\approx 100 \, \text{s}$, which is rather slow for laboratory experiments even with a digital memory oscilloscope. Therefore the time-axis scaling $\kappa = 10^{-4}$ was added to the equations. Now, one second of the model time corresponds to one millisecond of the real time.

The emulator was configured as a two-port network. The excitation voltage $v(t)$ is applied to Port 1 with the “I” module (controlled current source). Although the current $i(t)$ is just an internal variable of the system (37)-(39), it can be generated at Port 1 to emulate fully the circuit from Figure 9(a). One ampere in the model corresponds to one milliamper of the emulated circuit. The model response based on $v_C(t)$ is generated at Port 2 with the “V” module. Alternatively, the output can be set as the memristance $R_M$ to monitor the internal state of the model.

Equations (37)-(39) were discretized using the forward Euler method, similar to (22). The window function $f_W$ was realized in the algorithm as a correction of the state variable $R_M$ after each integration step. Whenever $R_M > R_2$, it is set back to $R_2$ and vice versa for the lower limit $R_1$.

The excitation voltage $v(t)$ represents environmental conditions of the amoeba. Favorable conditions correspond to a positive voltage and unfavorable conditions to a negative voltage. Long-term exposure to favorable conditions ($v > 0$) leads to $dR_M/dt < 0$ and after a sufficiently long interval the memristance $R_M$ will be at its lower limit, i.e. $R_M = R_1$.

It has been observed that periodic intervals of unfavorable conditions make the amoeba adapt so that the organism can anticipate the intervals and decrease its velocity. The process can be demonstrated on an experiment from [24]. The “training” voltage waveform consists of three negative cosine pulses

The model response based on the model corresponds to one milliampere in the emulated circuit.

The results presented in the paper can be summarized as follows:

1. The emulator was configured as a two-port network. The excitation voltage $v(t)$ is applied to Port 1 with the “I” module (controlled current source). Although the current $i(t)$ is just an internal variable of the system (37)-(39), it can be generated at Port 1 to emulate fully the circuit from Figure 9(a). One ampere in the model corresponds to one milliamper of the emulated circuit. The model response based on $v_C(t)$ is generated at Port 2 with the “V” module. Alternatively, the output can be set as the memristance $R_M$ to monitor the internal state of the model.

2. Equations (37)-(39) were discretized using the forward Euler method, similar to (22). The window function $f_W$ was realized in the algorithm as a correction of the state variable $R_M$ after each integration step. Whenever $R_M > R_2$, it is set back to $R_2$ and vice versa for the lower limit $R_1$.

3. The excitation voltage $v(t)$ represents environmental conditions of the amoeba. Favorable conditions correspond to a positive voltage and unfavorable conditions to a negative voltage. Long-term exposure to favorable conditions ($v > 0$) leads to $dR_M/dt < 0$ and after a sufficiently long interval the memristance $R_M$ will be at its lower limit, i.e. $R_M = R_1$.

4. The output voltage on Port 2 was generated as [24]

$$v_{out}(t) = \min(v_C(t), V_F).$$

5. Figure 10 shows the excitation voltage $v(t)$ and the response $v_{out}(t)$ generated by the emulator. The amoeba adaptation is represented in the model by the change of memristance $R_M$. The application of the irregular sequence of training pulses after a long period of favorable conditions ($V_F$) does not change the memristance significantly. On the other hand, the regular training sequence makes $R_M$ increase to $R_2$ and the amoeba becomes “adapted”. Both states can be tested by a single pulse at 800 ms after the training sequence start. The adapted response consists of several pulses of motion slowdown where the organism anticipates other unfavorable intervals. The response of the untrained organism is visibly smaller. Both results are in agreement with computer simulations in [24].

4. Conclusions

The results presented in the paper can be summarized as follows:
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Emulation provides the possibility of substituting an $m$-port network with a mixed-mode analog-digital system. The network modeled via multi-dimensional differential equations, which link terminal voltages and currents and internal state variables, can be emulated via digitally controlled current or voltage sources. The sources are controlled based on the port voltages or currents and the state variables via discrete-time equations of motion of the system being emulated.

In some cases, it can be useful to emulate digitally only some key parts of the circuit, while the rest can be made up of (preferably passive) analog components.

The combination of continuous-time and discrete-time blocks can bring stability problems, even in very simple circuits. The paper presents a methodology that can be used to assess the emulated system stability.

Stability problems can be alleviated by an appropriate selection of the type of controlled source (voltage or current) which is used to realize each port. Details are given in our previous work [17].

The paper presents a mixed-mode emulator with pluggable IO ports that has proven itself to emulate unconventional circuit elements (memristors, memcapacitors, meminductors, etc.) and also bio-inspired circuits. Especially in the case of models of biological systems it can avoid using bulky inductors and capacitors, which are common there.
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