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ABSTRACT

Analytical researches on a potential performance of multipath multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems inspire the development of new technologies that decompose a MIMO channel into independent sub-channels on the condition of constrained transmit power. Moreover, in current studies of inter-symbol interference (ISI) MIMO systems, there is an assumption that channel state information (CSI) at receivers and/or transmitters is perfect. In this paper, we propose a hybrid design of precoding and equalization schemes based on the unweighted minimum mean square error criterion that not only eliminates the ISI but also improves the system performance. Additionally, the impact of imperfect channel knowledge at receivers on the system performance of MIMO ISI system is investigated. The simulation result shown that the proposed hybrid design of precoding and equalization with shared redundancy outperforms the conventional method in all considered scenarios. Furthermore, the proposed and the conventional schemes are extremely sensitive to the CSI factor; the performance of these systems is quickly deteriorated when the accuracy of channel estimation decreases.

1 Introduction

For satisfying the demand of advanced communications in terms of high data rates, relatively low costs and high-quality services, it is necessary to constantly improve the performance of wireless communication systems [1][2]. However, protective intervals such as cyclic prefix or zero padding intervals are inserted to block transmission systems because of inter-symbol interference (ISI), which results in a decline in spectral efficiencies, especially in multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) ISI channels having a long impulse response [3][4]. In order to overcome the decrease of spectral efficiency and enhance the system performance, which attract lots of attention from the worldwide researchers. For example, redundancies are used instead of protector intervals throughout the signal processing to remove the ISI as in [5][9]. Moreover, various approaches based on the precoding scheme or the hybrid of precoding and equalization schemes of MIMO ISI channels were proposed [10][17].

In many approaches, it is assumed that channel status information (CSI) is able to be obtained perfectly. However, in practical scenarios, the perfect CSI is difficult to achieve in MIMO ISI systems because of the imperfection of channel estimation, feedback delay and finite rate channel quantization. Therefore, the research on a negative influences of imperfect CSI in MIMO ISI systems is necessary. A number of researches in this field developing precoders or connecting precoder and equalizer schemes with respect to the CSI at transmitters, receivers or transceivers have been published. There are many surveys expressed to analyze the performance of the MIMO system with imperfect CSI as follows.

Firstly, several surveys with the negative influences of imperfect CSI at transmitters were proposed and analyzed in [18][22]. To give a clear example, a MIMO system with joint decoding technique evaluated transmission rates which are affected by imperfect CSI [18]. Multi-user MIMO filterbank multicarrier systems employ...
ing the Zero Forcing technique are affected by imperfect CSI as demonstrated in [19]. The obtainable transmission rate of multipath channel systems with decoding technique was described in [20]. Analysis and investigation of MIMO systems occupying Tomlinson-Harashima precoding are mentioned in [21] [22].

Next, there have been also some papers studied the negative influences of imperfect CSI at the receiver [23] - [27]. For instance, the achievable transmission rate was evaluated in [25] by assumption of knowing the transmitted training symbols. The impact of CSI and feedback quantization error bring to MIMO systems in term of adaptive modulation was investigated in [24]. The effect of CSI to a capacity of MIMO systems was studied in [25] - [27].

Finally, an investigation about the combination of linear precoding and decoding to minimize the total mean-square error of MIMO systems was shown in [28] - [29] when there is the imperfect CSI at transceivers. Furthermore, the negative influences of the imperfect CSI on a resource allocation of base stations by using several techniques such as transmit antennas selection, power allocation and beamforming was considered in [30] - [32].

In this work, we focus on combination of both precoding and equalization schemes, which employ redundancies for MIMO ISI systems. The proposed scheme, shared redundancies for both transmitters and receivers are used to perform a combination design of precoder and equalizer. In the next part, an investigation of the negative influence of imperfect CSI on the performance of these systems will be performed. Herein, the length of protective intervals generally defines redundancies as in [8].

The contribution of the research is summarized as follows.

- The proposed joint scheme of precoding and equalization schemes with shared redundancy is mathematically analyzed in both perfect and imperfect CSI.
- The conventional methods, such as training zero and leading zero, are investigated to compare with the proposed scheme.
- The impact of imperfect CSI on the system performance is discussed based on bit error rate and channel capacity.
- The proposed scheme is evaluated based on different parameters, such as the accuracy of channel estimation, the order of the finite impulse response and the transmission block size.

The order of other parts in this paper are as follows: In Section 2, the system model with imperfect CSI is introduced, while both precoding and equalization techniques are combined for the MIMO ISI systems, and then the combination of them are demonstrated. The negative influences of imperfect CSI on the performance of system is analyzed in Section 3. Simulation results and conclusions are shown in Section 4 and Section 5, respectively. Notations in this paper are used as follows: sets of complex numbers are represented by symbol $\mathbb{C}$, while $(\cdot)^H$ denotes the conjugate transpose, $(\cdot)^T$ is the transpose and boldface font is used for vector and matrix.

## 2 System Model

In this work, joint scheme of precoding and equalization for MIMO ISI channels of block transmission system models is proposed as illustrated in Fig.1. $M_T$ and $M_R$ denote the transmitting and receiving antennas. The channel model of this system is assumed to be frequency selective fading. Moreover, the finite impulse response (FIR) of channel has an order of $D$, in which the channel impulse response (CIR) is expressed by matrices $\tilde{H}[0], \tilde{H}[1], ..., \tilde{H}[D]$, herein, $\tilde{H}[d] \in \mathbb{C}^{M_T \times M_R}, (d = 0, ..., D)$.

![Figure 1: Hybrid scheme of precoder and equalizer in MIMO ISI systems](image)

The system model of the MIMO ISI channel with joint scheme of precoder and equalizer in Figure 1 is expressed as follows. At the transmitter, a single input stream $\mathbf{x}[n]$ is transferred to a serial-to-parallel converter, and then converted into a block of vectors $\mathbf{x}[j]$ with a block size of $N \times 1$. Then, the vector $\mathbf{x}[j]$ is sent to the precoder. The precoder performs signal processing procedure so as to perform symbol vector generation $\hat{\mathbf{x}}[j]$ with the dimension of $Q_M \times 1$ from input vector $\mathbf{s}[j]$. Afterwards, the vector $\mathbf{s}[j]$ is divided into $Q$ vectors, each of which has the size of $M_T \times 1$, and converted by the parallel-to-serial converter, then transmitted over the MIMO ISI channel. At the output side, the received symbol vector $\mathbf{y}[j]$ has two parts because of the affection of noise, including an information vector $\mathbf{r}[j]$ and a noise sample vector $\mathbf{n}[j]$ that is considered as an additive white Gaussian noise sample vector, and $\mathbf{n}[j] \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, 1)$.

The signal processing procedure is employed conversely at the receiver. $Q \times M_R$ symbol vector $\mathbf{y}[j]$ is formed by the $Q$ received vectors in the serial-to-parallel converter. Subsequently, the symbol vector $\mathbf{y}[j]$ is sent to the equalizer in order to regenerate the original symbol vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}[j]$ with the dimension of $N \times 1$. Finally, the parallel-to-serial converter reforms the output symbol stream $\hat{\mathbf{x}}[n]$ from the symbol vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}[j]$.

Based on the operation and signal processing of system mentioned above, the terms defined as $\mathbf{x}[j], \mathbf{s}[j], \mathbf{y}[j], \hat{\mathbf{x}}[j], \mathbf{r}[j]$ and $\mathbf{n}[j]$ according to the input symbol stream $\mathbf{x}[n]$ and the sampled vector of received signal $\hat{\mathbf{x}}[n]$, can be mathematically shown in the (1) - (6) equations, respectively.

\[
\mathbf{x}[j] = [\mathbf{x}[jN], \mathbf{x}[jN + 1], ..., \mathbf{x}[jN + N - 1]]^T
\]

\[
\mathbf{s}[j] = [s[jQ_M], s[jQ_M + 1], ..., s[jQ_M + Q_M - 1]]^T
\]

\[
\mathbf{y}[j] = [\mathbf{y}[jQ_M], \mathbf{y}[jQ_M + 1], ..., \mathbf{y}[jQ_M + Q_M - 1]]^T
\]

\[
\hat{\mathbf{x}}[j] = [\hat{\mathbf{x}}[jN], \hat{\mathbf{x}}[jN + 1], ..., \hat{\mathbf{x}}[jN + N - 1]]^T
\]

\[
\mathbf{r}[j] = [\mathbf{r}[jQ_M], \mathbf{r}[jQ_M + 1], ..., \mathbf{r}[jQ_M + Q_M - 1]]^T
\]

\[
\mathbf{n}[j] = [\mathbf{n}[jQ_M], \mathbf{n}[jQ_M + 1], ..., \mathbf{n}[jQ_M + Q_M - 1]]^T
\]

### 3 Performance Analysis

#### 3.1 Joint Scheme of Precoder and Equalizer

In this section, the proposed joint scheme of precoder and equalizer based on MIMO ISI channels is explained. In addition, the channel
model is assumed to be narrow-band, therefore the Saleh-Valenzuela model \([33]\) can be adopted. In the case of \(Q \geq D\), the symbol \(\hat{x}[j]\) is expressed following the ideas in \([6]\)
\[
\hat{x}[j] = G\hat{H}_0 F x[j] + G\hat{H}_1 F x[j-1] + G n[j]
\] (7)
where \(n[j] \in \mathbb{C}^{Q M_T \times 1}\), \(F \in \mathbb{C}^{Q M_T \times N}\) and \(G \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times Q M_T}\) are respectively the precoding and the equalization matrices, and expressed by following equations
\[
F = \begin{bmatrix}
F_0[0] & \cdots & F_{N-2}[0] & F_{N-1}[0] \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
F_0[Q M_T-2] & \cdots & F_{N-2}[Q M_T-2] & F_{N-1}[Q M_T-2] \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] (8)
\[
G = \begin{bmatrix}
G_0[0] & \cdots & G_{(Q M_T-2)}[0] & G_{(Q M_T-1)}[0] \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
G_0[N-2] & \cdots & G_{(Q M_T-2)}[N-2] & G_{(Q M_T-1)}[N-2] \\
G_0[N-1] & \cdots & G_{(Q M_T-2)}[N-1] & G_{(Q M_T-1)}[N-1] \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] (9)

Additionally, \(\hat{H}_0\) and \(\hat{H}_1\) are utilized to give a definition of the Toeplitz matrices whose uniform dimension is \(Q M_T \times Q M_T\), and expressed by following equations
\[
\hat{H}_0 = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{H}[0] & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \hat{H}[0] & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & 0 & \hat{H}[D] & \hat{H}[D-1] & \cdots & \hat{H}[2] & \hat{H}[1] \\
0 & \cdots & \hat{H}[D] & \hat{H}[D-1] & \cdots & \hat{H}[2] & \hat{H}[1] \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] (10)
\[
\hat{H}_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \cdots & \hat{H}[D] & \hat{H}[D-1] & \cdots & \hat{H}[2] & \hat{H}[1] \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \hat{H}[D] & \cdots & \hat{H}[2] & \hat{H}[1] \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \hat{H}[D] & \hat{H}[D-1] \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \hat{H}[D] & \cdots & \hat{H}[D-1] \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] (11)

The effect of ISI on the MIMO ISI system model shown in Fig. 1 is the second component on the right side of the equation \((7)\). \((G\hat{H}_1 F x[j-1])\). With an assumption of \(Q M_T = M + D M_T\), \((N \leq M)\), two conditional methods (one is named by the trailing zero (TrZero) and the other one is the leading zero (LeZero) \([6]\)) can be used to cancel the ISI.

Actually, although the performance of both TrZero and LeZero technical schemes is almost similar, the TrZero scheme is solely considered. For the TrZero technical scheme, the equalization matrix keeps the same \((G_{TrZero} = G)\), whereas the precoding matrix changes the last \(D M_T\) rows to be zero. The precoding matrix has the form
\[
F = \begin{bmatrix}
F_0[0] & \cdots & F_{(N-2)}[0] & F_{(N-1)}[0] \\
F_0[1] & \cdots & F_{(N-2)}[1] & F_{(N-1)}[1] \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\
F_0[M-1] & \cdots & F_{(N-2)}[M-1] & F_{(N-1)}[M-1] \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] (12)
where the definition of \(F_{TrZero} \in \mathbb{C}^{(Q - D) M_T \times N}\) is as follows
\[
F_{TrZero} = \begin{bmatrix}
F_0[0] & \cdots & F_{(N-2)}[0] & F_{(N-1)}[0] \\
\vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\
F_0[M-1] & \cdots & F_{(N-2)}[M-1] & F_{(N-1)}[M-1] \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] (13)

Subsequently, the optimal criteria is utilized to design the \(F_{TrZero}\) precoder and the \(G_{TrZero}\) equalizer jointly to improve the system performance. Thus, when \(G\hat{H}_1 F x[j-1]\) is disappeared, it means that the ISI is not completely existent, the equation \((7)\) becomes as follows
\[
\hat{x}[j] = G_{TrZero} \hat{H} F_{TrZero} x[j] + G_{TrZero} n[j].
\] (14)
where the \(\hat{H}\) matrix comprises \((Q - D) M_T\) first columns of the \(\hat{H}_0\) matrix and is given by
\[
\hat{H} = \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{H}[0] & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\hat{H}[D] & \hat{H}[D-1] & \cdots & \hat{H}[2] & \hat{H}[1] \\
\hat{H}[D-1] & \hat{H}[D-2] & \cdots & \hat{H}[3] & \hat{H}[2] \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\hat{H}[D] & \hat{H}[D-1] & \cdots & \hat{H}[2] & \hat{H}[1] \\
0 & \cdots & \hat{H}[D] & \hat{H}[D-1] & \cdots & \hat{H}[2] & \hat{H}[1] \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & \cdots & \hat{H}[D] & \hat{H}[D-1] & \cdots & \hat{H}[2] & \hat{H}[1] \\
0 & \cdots & \hat{H}[D] & \hat{H}[D-1] & \cdots & \hat{H}[2] & \hat{H}[1] \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] (15)

When the transmit power is limited by \(p_l^d\), the optimal MMSE criterion for joint precoder and equalizer schemes is calculated by
\[
F_{TrZero} = V d U H
\] (16)
\[
G_{TrZero} = R_{xx} F_{TrZero} H ( R_{xx} + \hat{H} F_{TrZero} H R_{xx} F_{TrZero} H )^{-1}
\] (17)
where \(U\) and \(V\) are unitary matrices obtained from the eigenvalue decompositions (EVD) algorithm, and \(\Phi\) is a diagonal matrix, whose main diagonal elements Matrix can be obtained from a water-filling algorithm,
\[
R_{xx} = U \Lambda U H,
\] (18)
\[
\hat{H} H R_{xx} H \hat{H} = V \Lambda V H
\] (19)
where $\mathbf{R}_s$ and $\mathbf{R}_n$ are the covariance matrices of the input signal and noise, respectively.

Obviously, the signal to interference noise ratios (SINRs) among the decoupled flat sub-channels are not similar because of the unequal eigenvalues obtained from $\mathbf{R}_s$. Hence, the sub-channel with low SINR significantly influences the performance of the system, it means that those sub-channels should be discarded to enhance the system BER \[17\]. In addition, when the protective or the redundancy is utilized, the ISI interference can be cancelled in the frequency selective channel, however the addition of the protective interval or the redundancy lets channel energy be lost.

For solving the above issue, the ideas expressed in \[6,9\] were combined and both precoder and equalizer technique schemes relied on the unweighted MMSE criterion \[10\] was proposed. This proposal aimed to share redundancies to both the transmitter and the receiver. Especially, instead of setting the last $DM_T$ rows of the $\mathbf{F}$ precoding matrix, only last $\bar{K}_M$ rows are set to zero, while the first $(D - \bar{K})M_R$ columns of the $\mathbf{G}$ equalization matrix are also set to zero at the receiver. Herein, the transmitter and the receiver obtain the shared protected interval, it means that the first $(D - \bar{K})M_T$ rows of the $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_0$ channel matrix and the last $\bar{K}_M$ columns of the $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_0$ channel matrix are removed by the $\mathbf{G}$ equalization matrix and the $\mathbf{F}$ precoding matrix, respectively. Consequently, the loss in $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_0$ channel matrix is able to reduced by the proposed design. In the other words, the partial channel energy loss can be reduced. Basing on the above analysis, in our method, the precoder and equalizer are designed as follows \[20\] and \[21\].

\[
\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{F}_0[0] & \mathbf{F}_1[0] & \cdots & \mathbf{F}_{\bar{K}_M}[0] \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{F}_0[(D - \bar{K})M_T] & \mathbf{F}_1[(D - \bar{K})M_T] & \cdots & \mathbf{F}_{\bar{K}_M - 1}[(D - \bar{K})M_T] \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathbf{G}_0[0] & \cdots & \mathbf{G}_{(D - \bar{K})\mathbf{M}_R}[0] \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{G}_0[1] & \cdots & \mathbf{G}_{(D - \bar{K})\mathbf{M}_R}[1] \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{G}_0[\bar{K}_M - 1] & \cdots & \mathbf{G}_{(D - \bar{K})\mathbf{M}_R}[\bar{K}_M - 1]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where $\bar{K}_M \in \mathbb{C}^{(Q - \bar{K})\mathbf{M}_T \times N}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{G}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times (Q - D + \bar{K})\mathbf{M}_R}$ are given by following equations \[22\] and \[23\].

The unweighted MMSE criterion will be used to design the $\hat{\mathbf{F}}$ precoder and the $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$ equalizer \[15\]. weak eigenmodes are dropped, so they do not affect the BER of system. The transmit power is redistributed for the remaining eigenvalues. Consequently, power distribution to the higher eigenvalues is larger than that of the MIMO ISI channel.

When the ISI cancellation is complete, the equation \[7\] can be expressed as follows

\[
\hat{x}[j] = \hat{\mathbf{G}}\hat{\mathbf{H}}\hat{x}[j] + \hat{\mathbf{G}}\hat{n}[j] \tag{24}
\]

where the $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$ channel matrix is defined as

\[
\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \xi \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_e \tag{26}
\]

\[
\hat{\mathbf{H}}_e \in \mathbb{C}^{N}(0, 1) \text{, thus, the illustration of the channel matrix is as follows} \[34,35\] \]

\[
\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \hat{\mathbf{F}} \hat{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{x} + \hat{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{n}[j] \tag{27}
\]

3.2 In the Case of Imperfect CSI

Factually, the perfect CSI cannot be produced at both the transmitter and the receiver. In this work, we assume that the perfect CSI exists at the transmitter, which means that its feedback is immediate and error-free, whereas the receiver has the imperfect CSI. Herein, the accurate channel matrix and the inaccurate channel matrix with a complex Gaussian distribution are denoted as $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_e$, respectively, in which $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_e \in \mathbb{C}^{N}(0, 1)$. Thus, the illustration of the channel matrix is as follows \[34,35\] \[26\] \[27\].

The $\hat{\mathbf{F}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$ matrices of the precoder and the equalizer are designed under the imperfect CSI at the receiver, and optimized in accordance with the unweighted MMSE criterion \[15\]. Therefore, the mathematical description is expressed as

\[
\min_{\hat{\mathbf{F}}, \hat{\mathbf{G}}} \mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\mathbf{G}} \hat{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{x})^2 \right], \tag{28}
\]

Subject to: $tr (\hat{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{R} \hat{\mathbf{F}}) \leq p_0$,

where $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{x}[j] - (\hat{\mathbf{G}} \hat{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{x}[j] + \hat{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{n}[j])$, the weight matrix $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{I}$ and the transmit power is constrained to $p'_0$. The expectation $(\mathbb{E})$ relates to the distribution of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{n}$.

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ (\hat{\mathbf{G}} \hat{\mathbf{F}})^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [\mathbf{e}^H | \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}])^2] = \mathbb{E} \left[ Re (\hat{\mathbf{G}} \hat{\mathbf{F}}) \right], \tag{29}
\]
where the error covariance matrix is denoted by \( \text{Re}\left( \hat{G} \hat{F} \right) \) and defined as \( \text{Re}\left( \hat{G} \hat{F} \right) := E\left( \mathbf{e} \mathbf{e}^H \right) \). Using the expression for \( \mathbf{e} \) and the above assumption, we have

\[
E\left( \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^H \right) = \mathbf{I},
\]
\[
E\left( \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}^H \right) = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}},
\]
\[
E\left( \mathbf{x} \mathbf{n}^H \right) = 0.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\text{Re}\left( \hat{G} \hat{F} \right) = \left[ \hat{G} \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right) \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I} \right] \times \left[ \hat{G} \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right) \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I} \right]^H + \hat{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}^H.
\]

For solving the optimization problem in (28), the method of Lagrange duality and the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions are utilized, where \( \eta \) is the Lagrange multiplier.

\[
L(\eta, \hat{G}, \hat{F}) = c(\hat{G}, \hat{F}) + \eta \left[ \text{tr}\left( \hat{F} \hat{F}^H \right) - p'_0 \right].
\]

Substituting (29) and (33) into (34), we have

\[
L(\eta, \hat{G}, \hat{F}) = \text{tr}\left\{ \left[ \hat{G} \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right) \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I} \right] \times \left[ \hat{G} \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right) \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I} \right]^H + \hat{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}^H \right\} + \eta \left[ \text{tr}\left( \hat{F} \hat{F}^H \right) - p'_0 \right]
\]

By applying the KKT condition, the \( \hat{F} \) and \( \hat{G} \) matrices are optimal only if there is an \( \eta \) satisfying the following condition.

\[
\nabla_\hat{G} L(\eta, \hat{G}, \hat{F}) = 0,
\]
\[
\nabla_\hat{F} L(\eta, \hat{G}, \hat{F}) = 0,
\]
\[
\eta \geq 0; \text{tr}\left( \hat{F} \hat{F}^H \right) - p'_0 \leq 0,
\]
\[
\eta \left[ \text{tr}\left( \hat{F} \hat{F}^H \right) - p'_0 \right] = 0.
\]

Applying the derivatives of \( L(\eta, \hat{G}, \hat{F}) \) with respect to \( \hat{F} \) and \( \hat{G} \) as in (36) and (37) and substitute (35) into (36) and (37). As a result, the \( \hat{F} \) and \( \hat{G} \) matrices can be calculated as follows

\[
\left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right) \mathbf{F} = \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right) \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^H \times \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right)^H \hat{\mathbf{G}}^H + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}} \hat{\mathbf{G}}^H.
\]

\[
\hat{G} \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right) = \mathbf{F}^H \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right)^H \hat{\mathbf{G}}^H \times \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right) + \eta \mathbf{F}^H.
\]

where \( \hat{F}, \hat{G} \) are designed by the unweighted MMSE criterion. Consequently, the optimal \( \hat{F}, \hat{G} \) matrices are derived as follows

\[
\hat{F} = \hat{V} \hat{\Phi}_r,
\]
\[
\hat{G} = \hat{\Phi}_r \hat{V}^H \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right)^H \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}}^{-1},
\]

where the \( \eta, \hat{\Phi}_r \) and \( \hat{\Phi}_n \) are given by

\[
\eta^{1/2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \lambda_{i}^{1/2} \right)}{p'_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \lambda_{i}^{1/2} \right)},
\]
\[
\hat{\Phi}_r = \left( \eta^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/2} - \hat{\lambda}^{-1/2} \right),
\]
\[
\hat{\Phi}_n = \left( \eta^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/2} - \eta \lambda^{-1/2} \right).
\]

Applying (44) in (45) and (46), we can calculate as

\[
|\hat{\phi}_{i,j}|^2 = \left[ \frac{p'_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \lambda_{i}^{1/2} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \lambda_{i}^{1/2} \right)} \lambda_{i}^{1/2} - \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( \lambda_{i}^{1/2} \right) \right] \lambda_{i}^{1/2} \right],
\]

where the main diagonal element of \( \hat{\lambda} \) is denoted by \( \lambda_{i,j} \). Moreover, the \( \hat{V} \) and \( \hat{\Phi} \) are matrices obtained from the EVD algorithm

\[
\left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right)^H \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}}^{-1} \times \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right) = \hat{V} \hat{\Phi} \hat{V}^H.
\]

The precoder and equalizer matrices are planed as equations (42) and (43); therefore, the calculation of (27) can be expressed as follows

\[
\hat{x}[j] = \left( \hat{G} \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \hat{G} \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right) \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}}^{-1} \times \left( \mathbf{e} \hat{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right) \mathbf{x}[j] + \hat{G} \mathbf{n}[j]
\]

\[
\hat{x}[j] = \xi^2 \hat{G} \hat{\Phi} \hat{H} \hat{\Phi} \hat{H} \hat{\Phi} \hat{H} \mathbf{x}[j] + \xi \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} \hat{G} \hat{\Phi} \hat{H} \hat{\Phi} \hat{H} \mathbf{x}[j] + \hat{G} \mathbf{n}[j].
\]

Subsequently, the SINR of sub-channels and the capacity of the system \( C_{\text{sys}} \) are able to be given as

\[
\text{SINR}_j = \frac{\xi^2 |\hat{\phi}_{i,j}|^2 \lambda_{i,j}}{(2\xi \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} + (1 - \xi^2) |\hat{\phi}_{i,j}|^2 \lambda_{i,j} + 1},
\]

\[
C_{\text{sys}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\xi^2 |\hat{\phi}_{i,j}|^2 \lambda_{i,j}}{(2\xi \sqrt{1 - \xi^2} + (1 - \xi^2) |\hat{\phi}_{i,j}|^2 \lambda_{i,j} + 1} \right),
\]

where \( \hat{N} \leq \text{rank}(\hat{K} \mathbf{M}_{\text{BF}}, \hat{K} M_{\text{BF}}) \), and \( \lambda_{i,j} \) is the main diagonal of \( \hat{\lambda} \) that is able to be obtained by mathematical calculation as follows

\[
\hat{\lambda}^H \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}}^{-1} \hat{\lambda} = \hat{V} \hat{\Lambda} \hat{V}^H.
\]
4 Simulation Results

To evaluate the performance of the proposed scheme under the condition of imperfect CSI at the receiver, the system model is assumed to have four transmit antennas and four receive antennas. The system performance is evaluated according to the channel estimation accuracy $\xi$, the order of FIR $D$ and transmit block size $Q$. The Saleh-Valenzuela indoor channel model is used to generate the CIR as in [33] and the 4-QAM modulation is applied. Additionally, the overall transmit power is standardized through transmit antennas ($p_0^t=1$), and the system performance of the proposed scheme is simulated and calculated according to the Monte Carlo simulation.

On the other hand, the BER performance of the proposed and the TrZero schemes is compared in the different situations of the order of FIR and the transmission block dimension as illustrated in Figures 2, 3 and 4, respectively. In general, the BER of the TrZero scheme is significantly higher than that of the proposed one at all considered $\xi$ values, such as $\xi = 0.81, 0.91$ and 0.96 (the perfect CSI). Furthermore, it is obvious that the accuracy of channel estimation proportionally affects the BER of the system, which means that the BER and the accuracy of channel estimation increase simultaneously, especially for the high SNR range. This is because of the fact that the residual interference due to imperfect CSI increases when the SNR increases. Consequently, the SINR of every sub-channel extremely decreases compared to the SNR of the perfect one.

As shown in Figures 2 and 3, the BER of the system is deteriorated when the $Q$ increases, or the $D$ decreases (Figures 2 and 3).
It can be explained that the increase of $Q$ makes the number of sub-channels increases while the shared redundancy is fixed. Thus, the channel energy for every sub-channel decreases. In contrast, when the $D$ decreases, the shared redundancy decreases while the number of sub-channels is fixed, it leads the decrease in the channel energy for every sub-channel.

Furthermore, the capacity of system under the imperfect CSI condition at the receiver increases due to the increase of the transmission block size. On the other hand, the capacity of system decreases once the order of FIR increases in both schemes. The reason is that when the transmission block dimension increases and/or the FIR order decreases, the SINR of every sub-channel decreases, however the number of sub-channels in MIMO ISI channels is increased. As a result, the capacity of the MIMO ISI system under the imperfect CSI condition increases.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, the combination scheme of precoder and equalizer relied on the unweighted MMSE criterion for the imperfect CSI of MIMO ISI channels has been developed. The proposed scheme lets the loss of channel energy decrease and eliminates some sub-channels with extremely small eigenvalue in order to improve the system performance. The proposed scheme is evaluated by Monte Carlo simulation, and the simulation result shows that the proposed scheme under limited transmit power can achieve the higher system performance comparing to the conventional scheme in the considered scenarios even when the CSI is imperfect. However, the performance of the MIMO ISI system using redundancies is significantly affected by the CSI factor. Furthermore, the relationship between the performance of the system and several parameters, such as the accuracy of channel estimation, the transmission block size and the FIR order, are also discussed. The result in this research can help us to structure a practical MIMO ISI system which is close to the actual situation. The investigation of the impact of imperfect CSI on the advanced future communication system, such as massive MIMO or MIMO filterbank multicarrier systems, with combining designs of precoder and equalizer is left to future works.
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